UVA + B treatment affects antioxidant system and phytochemicals of parsley plant under different concentrations of Zn
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ABSTRACT
Decline in ozone layer that followed by enhanced intensity of UV radiation on the Earth surface. Plants have obligate requirement for sun light are more susceptible to this radiance. UV radiation increases the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) that are extremely cytotoxic (Mahdavian et al., 2008; Czégény et al., 2016). The antioxidant system is one of the most important mechanisms responsible for detoxifying the free radicals. Non-enzymatic antioxidant system includes biochemicals such as carotenoids, flavonoids, ascorbic acid and glutathione. Flavonoids commonly absorb the light in the region of 280-320 nm and thus are capable to protect the plant from damage (Eichholz et al., 2011; Reshmi and Rajalakshmi, 2012). Carotenoids also have antioxidant properties and act as an internal filter against UV radiation (Nasibi and Kalantari, 2005). Enzymatic antioxidants such as catalase, peroxidase and superoxide dismutase can moderate the UV-induced injuries by protecting the photosynthetic pathway and cellular components (Wei et al., 2013). A wide range of morphological, 
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1 INTRODUCTION

physiological and biochemical responses of plants have been reported to elevate the UV resistance. Some plants are more tolerant to UV radiation than others because they activate a variety of mechanisms against stress (Fedina et al., 2010; Wei et al., 2013).

Zinc is an essential micronutrient and involves in the various metabolic pathways in plants (Alloway, 2008). The positive role of Zn in different environmental stresses such as salinity, drought and high irradiance was reported by several authors (Hassan et al., 2005; Weisany et al., 2012; Michael and Krishnaswamy, 2014). In this study the effect of UVA + B treatment on parsley plant antioxidant system and phytochemicals was investigated at two concentrations of Zn.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

The seeds of parsley plant (Petroselinum crispum Mill. var. neapolitanum) was achieved from the Agricultural Research Center of Tabriz, Iran.

2.1 Plants growth condition

Plants were grown hydroponically in a growth chamber with a temperature of 28/20 °C, 16 h photoperiod and relative humidity of 70 %. Seeds were germinated in petri-dishes and transferred to plastic containers with 2 l of Cooper nutrient solution (50 %) and pre-cultured for 7 days. After pre-culturing period plants were transferred to the full strength nutrient solution, containing two levels of Zn (1.5 and 6.5 µm) as zinc sulphate. Applied UV doses that were received by one-half of plants were 20.5 and 176 kJ m⁻² day⁻¹ for UVA and UVB respectively (supplied with 30 W, UV lamps, Philips; UVA 5 %, UVB 5 %). 20 days after treatments, the plants were harvested and stored in -80 °C for further analyses.

2.2 Photosynthetic pigments and phytochemicals assays

Fresh leaf tissues were homogenized with 80 % aqueous acetone. The extracts were centrifuged for 10 min at 4000 g. Chlorophylls and carotenoids contents were determined spectrophotometrically at 470, 646.8 and 550 nm using equations described by Lichtenthaler (1987).

Anthocyanins were extracted with acidified methanol (methanol: HCl, 99:1, v/v) solution on a shaker in the dark at 4 °C per 48 h. After filtering, the absorbance of samples were measured spectrophotometrically at 550 nm and calculated using an extinction coefficient of 33000 mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ (Wagner, 1979).

Total phenolic of shoots was extracted by 80 % aqueous methanol for 20 min using ultrasonic bath. The mixture was centrifuged at 14000 g for 5 min. To 0.5 ml of supernatant, 1.5 ml (1:10 v/v diluted with distilled water) Folin-Ciocalteau reagent was added and allowed to stand for 5 min at 22 °C. After 5 min, 2 ml of 7.5 % of sodium carbonate was added. These mixtures were incubated for 90 min in the dark with intermittent shaking. After incubation, development of blue color was measured at 725 nm. The phenolic content was calculated on the basis of standard curve of gallic acid (Fletcher and Kott, 1999).

The total flavonoid content of shoots was determined using the aluminum chloride assay through colorimetry. An aliquot (1 ml) of extracts were taken in different test tubes then 6 ml of distilled water was added followed by the addition of 0.3 ml of sodium nitrite (5 % NaNO₂, w/v) and allowed to stand for 6 min. Later 0.3 ml of aluminum trichloride (10 % AlCl₃) was added and incubated for 6 min, followed by the addition of 2 ml of sodium hydroxide (NaOH, 4 % w/v). After 15 min of incubation the mixture turns to pink and its absorbance was measured at 510 nm. The total flavonoid content was calculated on the basis of standard curve of quercitine (Toor and Savage, 2005).

2.3 Antioxidant enzymes assays

To obtain the crude extract, 0.1 g parsley leaves were homogenized in 3 ml of 10 mmol l⁻¹ potassium phosphate buffer (pH = 7), containing 0.2 % polyvinyl pyrroldione. The homogenate was centrifuged at 21,000 g at 4 °C for 20 min. The resulting supernatant was used to measure the activities of superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidase (POD) and catalase (CAT) and protein content. The activity of SOD was measured according to its capacity to inhibit photochemical reduction of nitroblue tetrazolium. The reaction mixture contained 2.65 ml of 67 mmol l⁻¹ potassium phosphate buffer (pH = 7.8), 0.2 ml of 0.1 mmol l⁻¹ EDTA solution containing 0.3 mmol l⁻¹ sodium cyanide, 0.1 ml of 1.5 mmol l⁻¹ NBT, 50 ml of 0.12 mmol l⁻¹ riboflavin and 50 ml enzyme extract. The amount of enzyme that catalyzed 50 % inhibition from photochemical reduction of NBT was defined as one unit (U) of SOD. Due to the possibility of auto-oxidation of the substrates, control assays were prepared in the absence of plant extract (Winterbourn et al., 1976).

Guaiacol POD was assayed in plant shoots, following the method of Chance and Maehly (1955). The reaction
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mixture contained 1.50 ml of 100 mmol l⁻¹ citrate-phosphate - borate buffer solution (pH = 7.5), 50 µl of 15 mmol l⁻¹ guaiacol, 25 µl enzyme extract and 50 µl of 3.3 mmol l⁻¹ H₂O₂. The polymerization of guaiacol was initiated by adding H₂O₂ and an increase in absorbance at 470 nm was recorded for 3 min. POD activity was calculated using the extinction coefficient, 26.6 (mmol l⁻¹ cm⁻¹), for guaiacol. The generation of 1 µmol of tetra guaiacol per min was catalyzed by the amount of enzyme that was introduced as one unit of POD.

The CAT activity was determined by monitoring the decrease in absorbance at 240 nm for 3 min due to dismutation of H₂O₂. The reaction mixture contained 1.50 ml of 100 mmol l⁻¹ citrate-phosphate-borate buffer solution (pH = 7.5), 50 µl enzyme extract and 13 µl of 10 mmol 1⁻¹ H₂O₂. The amount of enzyme for dismutation of 1 µmol l⁻¹ H₂O₂ per min was expressed as one unit. Extinction coefficient for H₂O₂ at 240 nm was considered 39.4 (mmol l⁻¹ cm⁻¹) (Obinger et al., 1997).

2.4 Total proteins and soluble sugars

Total protein content was measured by the method of Bradford (1976) using bovine serum albumin as a standard. The soluble sugars content was measured by DuBois et al. (1956) method.

2.5 Hydrogen peroxide and malondialdehyde assays

The hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) content was estimated according to the Harinasut et al. (2003). Samples were homogenized with 0.1 % (w/v) trichloroaceticacid (TCA). Mixture was centrifuged at 12000 g for 15 min. To 0.5 ml of the supernatant, 0.5 ml of 10 mM phosphate buffer (pH = 7.0) and 1 ml of 1 m potassium iodide (KI) was added. The mixture was incubated at 25 °C for 15 min. The absorbance was measured at 390 nm. The H₂O₂ content was calculated from a standard curve prepared in a similar way.

Lipid peroxidation was estimated from the amount of malondialdehyde (MDA) formed in a reaction mixture (Heath and Packer, 1968). Leaf tissues were homogenized in 0.1 % (w/v) (TCA). The homogenate was centrifuged at 10,000 g for 5 min. To 1 ml of the supernatant, 4 ml of 20 % TCA containing 0.5 % thiobarbituric acid was added. The mixture was incubated at 95 °C in a water bath for 30 min, and then quickly cooled on ice. The mixture was centrifuged at 10,000 g for 15 min and the absorbance was measured at 532 nm. MDA levels were calculated from 1,1′,3,3′-tetra ethoxy propan standard curve.

2.6 Statistical Analysis

Experiment was conducted in complete randomized design with 3 replications. Analysis of variance was performed using InStat (3.0) software. The data were presented as the means ± SE for each treatment. Means were compared with Tukey's Multiple Range Test at the 5 % probability level.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Growth parameters

In this study, UV radiation non significantly decreased the fresh and dry mass and lengths of parsley plants shoots and roots at both levels of applied Zn. Application of Zn at concentration of 6.5 µm significantly (p < 0.05) increased the dry and fresh mass of shoots (Fig 1), but non significantly increased the dry and fresh mass of roots (Fig 2) and plant length (Fig 3) in compared to plants received concentration of 1.5 µm of Zn at normal and UV radiation conditions. The effect of UV radiation on plants growth is varying among different species. In the wide range of species, plant growth decreases in response to UV radiation, but in some cases the growth is not affected or it is even promoted by this radiation (Fedina et al., 2010, Ravindran et al., 2010; Zlatev et al., 2012). The induced changes in the plant's growth regulators biosynthesis and transport by UV radiation are responsible for the decreased growth of plants (Toosi et al., 2009). Similar to results obtained from this study, the biomass and production of potato, clover, oat and barley plants did not dramatically affect by 24-33 % increases in UV radiation during the growing season (Hakala et al., 2002). The ability of plant in the prevention of growth reduction under UV treatment is an indicator for plant tolerance (Smith et al., 2000). In this study, the application of Zn at high concentration could improve the growth of parsley under normal condition and UV radiation. The beneficial effects of Zn on plants growth are related to its necessity for carbohydrate and protein metabolism, membrane integrity, auxin synthesis and reproduction (Alloway, 2008).
Figure 1: Effect of UV treatment on the fresh and dry mass of parsley shoots under different concentrations of Zn

Figure 2: Effect of UV treatment on the fresh and dry mass of parsley roots under different concentrations of Zn

Figure 3: Effect of UV treatment on the length of parsley shoots and roots under different concentrations of Zn

3.2 Photosynthetic pigments

The concentrations of chlorophylls a, b and total were not significantly affected by UV treatment and Zn concentrations. But, carotenoids content and carotenoid/chlorophyll ratio of UV treated plants increased significantly ($p < 0.05$) in both concentrations of Zn. Application of Zn at concentration of 6.5 µm slightly increased the carotenoid content and carotenoid/chlorophyll ratio of plants compared to the concentration of 1.5 µm of Zn in both conditions (Table
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1). According to results obtained from this study, parsley plant could effectively protects its own chlorophyll content against the enhanced UVA + B radiation by increasing the carotenoids content in both levels of Zn. Similar to this study, Salama et al. (2011) reported that in Rumex vesicarius L. the chlorophyll content of plant was not affected notably by UV treatment. The carotenoids are involved in the photosynthetic structures protection against the destructive effects of UV radiation (Nasibi and Kalantari, 2005). The efficacy of carotenoids in protecting the photosystems is likely due to their function as efficient quenchers of high energy of short wave radiation. The plant capacity in the protection of photosynthetic pigments content under enhanced UV conditions restores plant photosynthesis rate and tolerates plant against this stress (Levall and Bornman, 2006; Reshmi and Rajalakshmi, 2012). Zn application in this study did not affect the chlorophylls contents of parsley plant significantly. Similar to this result are those obtained for maize plants at different concentrations of Zn under the condition without stress (Saeidnejad and Kafi, 2013).

Table 1: Effect of UV treatment on photosynthetic pigments of parsley plant under different concentrations of Zn

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>treatment</th>
<th>Chlorophyll a (mg g⁻¹FM)</th>
<th>Chlorophyll b (mg g⁻¹FM)</th>
<th>Total chlorophyll (mg g⁻¹FM)</th>
<th>Carotenoid (mg g⁻¹FM)</th>
<th>Carotenoid/ chlorophyll</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zn 1.5 µm</td>
<td>0.14 ± 2.53 a</td>
<td>0.48 ± 3.07 a</td>
<td>0.15 ± 5.93 a</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.31 b</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.05 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn 1.5 µm + UV</td>
<td>0.04 ± 2.63 a</td>
<td>0.09 ± 2.34 a</td>
<td>0.34 ± 5.15 a</td>
<td>0.97 ± 3.027 a</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.48 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn 6.5 µm</td>
<td>0.031 ± 2.59 a</td>
<td>3.33 ± 0.12 a</td>
<td>0.6 ± 5.95 a</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.54 b</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.07 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn 6.5 µm + UV</td>
<td>0.07 ± 2.55 a</td>
<td>0.27 ± 3.1 a</td>
<td>0.41 ± 5.64 a</td>
<td>0.65 ± 3.44 a</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.6 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each value represented as mean ± SE (n = 3); mean values followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly different (p < 0.05).

3.3 Phenolic compounds

UV treatment induced a significant increase in the anthocyanin content of parsley plants at concentration of 1.5 µm of Zn, but a slight increase was seen at concentration of 6.5 µm of Zn. The flavonoids concentration of plants significantly (p < 0.05) increased by UV treatment in both levels of applied Zn. There were some increases in the total phenols contents of plants treated with UV at both levels of Zn which they were not significant. In normal conditions without UV radiation, application of Zn at concentration of 6.5 µm caused non-significant increase in these parameters compared to the concentration of 1.5 µmof Zn (Table 2). The increased level of UV absorbing phenolics is the common protective response to enhanced UV radiation in plant species (Reshmi and Rajalakshmi, 2012; Wei et al., 2013). It has been demonstrated that UV-B photoreceptor, UV RESISTANCE LOCUS8 protein (gene name: UVR8), absorbs UV-B light through conserved tryptophan residues (Mach, 2016). Absorbing UV-B causes the apparent UVR8 homodimer to dissociate into monomers, which interact with constitutively photomorphogenenic1 (COP1), an E3 ubiquitin ligase (Rizzini et al., 2011). This interaction induces genes encoding protective factors such as phenylpropanoid biosynthesis pathway, and damage-repair factors such as photolyases (Fasano et al., 2014). Furthermore, the role of phenolic compounds as a product of phenyl propanoid pathway in the free radicals scavenging was also proved (Nasibi and Kalantari, 2005). It was proposed that plants with low levels of phenolic compounds are sensitive to UV radiation (Kim and Rodrigo, 2001; Zlatев et al., 2012). In this study, application of concentration of 6.5 µmof Zn increased the phenolic compounds compared to 1.5 µm. Our results about the positive effect of Zn application on biosynthesis of phenolic compounds is parallel to that reported for Pistacia vera L. by Tavllali et al. (2010) under saline condition.

3.4 Total proteins and soluble sugars

Total proteins and soluble sugars contents of UV treated plants decreased significantly (p < 0.05) in plants which received 1.5 µm of Zn, but the induced decreases in total proteins and soluble sugars in plants received 6.5 µm of Zn were not significant. At normal condition there was no main difference in these parameters between two levels of applied Zn (Table 2). The reductive effect of UV radiation on protein content is related to direct DNA injury, amino acid destruction and proteins and enzymes inactivation induced by UV radiation (Salama et al., 2011; Zlatев et al., 2012). Moreover, UV radiation causes the detrimental effects in the structure of RNA molecules and thus disrupts protein synthesis (Ulm and Nagy, 2005). According to this study, zinc application at high concentration in
UVA + B treated plants increased the protein content. The beneficial effect of Zn application on the protein content was reported for many species such as wheat plants under stress conditions (Morshedi and Farahbakhsh, 2010). Zn is necessary for the activity of the enzyme RNA polymerase and it protects the ribosomal RNA from attack by the enzyme ribonuclease. It is proposed that the most fundamental effect of zinc on protein metabolism is through its involvement in the stability and function of genetic material (Alloway, 2008).

The results attained for soluble sugars in this study were parallel to that obtained for total protein content. It has been proposed that UV radiation by inactivation the photosynthetic enzymes such as rubisco and some other Calvin cycle enzymes and damaging the photosystem II proteins adversely affects the photosynthesis and decreases the sugar synthesis (Zu et al., 2004; Zlatev et al., 2012). In this study, the induced reduction in soluble sugars by UVA + B treatment was not significant at sufficient amounts of Zn. The positive effect of Zn application on soluble sugar content probably is related to its role in protection of photosynthetic enzymes from UV damages and contribution in the structure of enzyme ribulose bisphosphat carboxylase (Alloway, 2008). The enhanced amounts of soluble sugars by sufficient Zn application was reported for different plant species such as Cucurbita pepo L. under stressful and normal conditions (Sorkhi Lalelou et al., 2013).

**Table 2:** Effect of UV treatment on anthocyanins, total phenolics, flavonoids, soluble sugars and total protein contents of parsley plant under different concentrations of Zn

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>treatment</th>
<th>Anthocyanins (mg g(^{-1})FM)</th>
<th>Flavonoids (mg g(^{-1})FM)</th>
<th>Total phenols (mg g(^{-1})FM)</th>
<th>Soluble sugars (mg g(^{-1})DM)</th>
<th>Total protein (mg g(^{-1})FM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zn 1.5 µm</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.08 b</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.082 b</td>
<td>8.61 ± 0.7 b</td>
<td>109.8 ± 3.63 a</td>
<td>27.6 ± 0.59 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn 1.5 µm + UV</td>
<td>1.94 ± 0.07 a</td>
<td>2.53 ± 0.32 a</td>
<td>14.32 ± 0.12 ab</td>
<td>79.1 ± 2.7 b</td>
<td>25.4 ± 0.21 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn 6.5 µm</td>
<td>1.47 ± 0.06 b</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.09 b</td>
<td>10.43 ± 0.7 ab</td>
<td>111.8 ± 4.23 a</td>
<td>28.7 ± 0.44 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn 6.5 µm + UV</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.045 ab</td>
<td>2.62 ± 0.22 a</td>
<td>16.11 ± 0.1 a</td>
<td>96.8 ± 6.3 a</td>
<td>26.53 ± 0.38 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each value represented as mean ± SE (n = 3); mean values followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

### 3.5 Antioxidant system

In this study, UV treatment significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased the activities of POD and CAT enzymes, but slightly decreased the activity of SOD enzyme at concentration of 1.5 µm of Zn. In plants received the concentration of 6.5 µm of Zn, UV treatment had no significant effect on the activities of SOD and POD, but significantly increased the CAT activity. In the conditions with no UV radiation, application of 6.5 µm of Zn could increase the SOD activity of plants compared to 1.5 µm Zn, but did not affect the POD and CAT activities considerably (Table 3).

H\(_2\)O\(_2\) content of plants significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased in response to UV treatment in both levels of Zn. UV treated plants that received the concentration of 1.5 µm of Zn had the highest amounts of H\(_2\)O\(_2\). Under condition without UV radiation, plants received 6.5 µm of Zn had slightly lower amount of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) compared to plants which received 1.5 µm of this element. The MDH content of plants increased significantly only in the UV treated plants which received 1.5 µm of Zn. In the plants fed with concentration of 6.5 µm of Zn, UV could not enhance this metabolite considerably (Table 3). UV radiation induces oxidative stress in plants (Hakala et al., 2002; Tossi et al., 2009). The increased levels of ROS in plants damage biomolecules such as lipids and result to MDA formation as the breakdown product of polyunsaturated fatty acids of membranes. The effect of sufficient Zn application on controlling the production of these detrimental components was reported by authors in different full stress conditions (Tavallali et al., 2010; Weisany et al., 2012), but there is no available reference concerning role of this element under UV condition. Zinc plays a key role in controlling the generation and detoxification of free oxygen radicals and subsequent lipid membrane oxidation (Alloway, 2008). It has been demonstrated that Zn ions have strong inhibitory effect on membrane bound NADPH oxidase (Cakmak and Marschner, 1988; Kawano et al., 2002).
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The activities of antioxidant enzymes POD and CAT in UV treated plants increased in this study. The enhancement in the activities of these enzymes in response to UV-B treatment were reported by several authors (Nasibi and Kalantari, 2005; Czégény et al., 2016). Antioxidant enzymes play a significant role in the dynamic equilibration between free oxygen radicals production and destruction. The responses of antioxidant enzymes to UV radiation vary among plants species (Tossi et al., 2009; Salama et al., 2011; Czégény et al., 2016). The increasing in the activities of antioxidant enzymes could be the indicator of build-up of a protective mechanism to reduce oxidative damages induced by stress (Harinasut et al., 2003; Chawla et al., 2013). According to results obtained from this study, the produced H$_2$O$_2$ effectively removed by POD and especially CAT in plants fed with concentration of 6.5 µm Zn. There was a small decrease in the activity of SOD enzyme in response to UV treatment in parsley plant in low level of applied Zn that was improved by application of 6.5 µm of Zn. Reduction in the activity of SOD enzyme as a result of UV-B radiation has been reported for sun flower plant (Costa et al., 2002). It has been proposed that a high amount of H$_2$O$_2$ is able to inhibit Cu-Zn-SOD via the reduction of Cu$^{2+}$ to Cu$^{+}$ (Casano et al. 1997). Zn is able to facilitate the biosynthesis of antioxidant enzymes (Cakmak, 2000) and its effects on improvement of antioxidant system of plants under various stresses have reported by several authors (Tavallali et al., 2010; Weisany et al., 2012; Michael and Krishnaswamy, 2014).

**Table 3:** Effect of UV treatment on antioxidant enzymes activities that defined as unit (U) and MDH and H$_2$O$_2$ contents of parsley plant under different concentrations of Zn

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>treatment</th>
<th>SOD activity (U mg$^{-1}$ pro min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>POD activity (U mg$^{-1}$ pro min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>CAT activity (U mg$^{-1}$ pro min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>H$_2$O$_2$ (µmol g$^{-1}$FM)</th>
<th>MDA (nmol g$^{-1}$FM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zn 1.5 µm</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.03 ab</td>
<td>0.12± 0.09 b</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.007 b</td>
<td>0.096 ± 0.005 c</td>
<td>1.74 ± 0.097 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn 1.5 µm + UV</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.015 b</td>
<td>0.25±0.04 a</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.045 a</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.023 a</td>
<td>5.57 ± 1.11 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn 6.5 µm</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.07 a</td>
<td>0.17±0.06 b</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.09 b</td>
<td>0.088 ± 0.003 c</td>
<td>1.64 ± 0.017 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn 6.5 µm + UV</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.04 a</td>
<td>0.19±0.02 b</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.029 a</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.01 b</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.5 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each value represented as mean ± SE (n = 3); mean values followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

**4 CONCLUSIONS**

The results of this study showed the relative tolerance of parsley plant against applied doses of UV radiation at both concentrations of Zn, but it was more obvious at concentration of 6.5 µm. This plant could effectively increase UV absorbing phenolic compounds and carotenoids in response to UV radiation that are involved in photosynthetic apparatus protection. Moreover the induced increases in the activities of antioxidant enzymes in UV treated plants are responsible to moderate the ROS production in this plant. According to results obtained from this study, Zn application at concentration of 6.5 µm had positive effects on parsley resistance to UV radiation.
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