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ABSTRACT

Olive fly (Bactrocera oleae (Rossi, 1790) Gmelin) is one of the most important olive pests worldwide. Most plant protection measures are based on insecticides, especially organophosphates, pyrethroids, and recently a spinosad. Insecticides are used as cover sprays or in more environmentally friendly methods in which insecticides are used in combination with attractants and pheromones as bait sprays or for mass trapping. However, due to negative impacts of insecticides to environment, new plant protection methods are constantly developing with the aim to lower the consumption of insecticides or even to eliminate them by biological control with entomopathogenic organisms, sterile insect technique (SIT), or transgenic method RIDL (release of insects carrying a dominant lethal). However, these methods need to be improved in order to guarantee adequate protection. Alternative methods than those traditionally used are required due to long term usage causing the development of resistance to the insecticides, ultimately lowering their effectiveness. Molecular methods for monitoring the frequencies of resistant alleles and the current status of resistance alleles in olive growing countries are reviewed here.
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IZVLEČEK

PREGLED VARSTVA PRED OLJČNO MUHO (Bactrocera oleae (Rossi, 1790) Gmelin) IN MOLEKULARNIH METOD ZA SPREMLJANJE ALELOV, ODGOVORNIH ZA RAZVOJ ODPORNOSTI NA INSEKTICIDE

Oljčna muha (Bactrocera oleae) je eden najpomembnejših svetovno razširjenih škodljivcev oljke. Večina varstvenih ukrepov temelji na insekticidih, predvsem na organskih fosforjevih estrih, piretroidih in nedavno uvedenem spinosadu. Insekticide se lahko nanese po celotni krošnji. Drugi, okolju prijaznejši način, vključuje uporabo insekticida v kombinaciji z atraktanti in feromoni. Tak pripravek se lahko nanese na del krošnje in deluje kot zastrupljena vaba, ali pa se ga uporabi pri metodi masovnega lovljenja. Zaradi negativnih vplivov insekticidov na okolje se nenehno razvija nove metode varstva, s ciljem zmanjšati porabo insekticidov ali jih celo izločiti. Sem sodijo biološko varstvo z entomopatogeni organizmi, tehniko sterilnih insektov (SIT) ali transgenena metoda RIDL (izpust insektov z dominantnimi letalnimi geni). Za doseganje učinkovite zaščite bi bilo omemjene alternativne metode potrebno izboljšati. Alternativne metode so nujne zaradi odpornosti na insekticide, ki se pojavi ob daljši uporabi insekticidov in zmanjšuje njihovo učinkovitost. V okviru članka je bil opravljen pregled molekularnih metod za spremljanje prisotnosti alelov, odgovornih za razvoj odpornosti na insekticide ter pregled stanja prisotnosti rezistentnih alelov v državah, kjer pridelujejo oljke.

Ključne besede: organski fosforjevi estri; ace gen; rezistentni aleli; metode varstva rastlin; alternativne metode; spinosad; biološko varstvo
INTRODUCTION

Olive fly (*Bactrocera oleae* (Rossi, 1790) Gmelin) is one of the most important olive pests worldwide (Daane and Johnson, 2010; Malheiro et al., 2015a). Until now, it has not been possible to find cultivars showing clear evidence of resistance or tolerance to this pest (Fabbri et al., 2009). However, not all olive cultivars are equally susceptible to olive fruit fly infestation. Some of the factors related to fruit traits that possibly play a role include fruit size and mass, color, fruit exocarp hardness, surface covering (mainly of aliphatic waxes), phenological stage of the crop, and chemical composition of olive fruits (Daane and Johnson, 2010; Malheiro et al., 2015a). Recently, Malheiro et al. (2016) studied olive fly oviposition preference to the volatiles from olive leaves from different cultivars and they observed correlation between infestation level during olive maturation and the aromatic hydrocarbon toluene. When volatiles from olive fruits were analysed, α-copaene was found as an oviposition promoter (Malheiro et al., 2015b). Garantonakis et al. (2016) observed positive correlation between *B. oleae* infestation and the content of potassium and iron in olive fruits.

2 PLANT PROTECTION AGAINST OLIVE FLY

Since there are no other efficient methods to protect plants from the olive fly, common control methods against *B. oleae* remain insecticide-based. These are bait sprays, cover sprays, and mass trapping (Haniotakis, 2005). According to the IOC, a treatment threshold for cover sprays should be considered (10% to 15% infested fruit intended for oil production and 1% to 2% for table olive production), while a poisoned bait should be used before or on the appearance of the first punctures (Jardak et al., 2007). Over the last four decades, organophosphate (OP) insecticides were the most frequently used. Recently, there has been an increased usage of pyrethroids, neonicotinoids, and very recently in a spinosad insecticide (Daane and Johnson, 2010; Knap and Bandelj, 2016; Varikou et al., 2016). Spinosad is available as a bite spray together with a foodstuff attractant in a product named GF-120 (Dow AgroSciences).

Bait sprays have an advantage over cover sprays, because they attract flies to the insecticide using an attractant. This minimizes the impact on natural enemies (Varikou et al., 2014) and reduces the total amount of pesticides used. Bait sprays are generally recognized to be an integral component of integrated pest management (Varikou et al., 2016). Nevertheless, it offers only limited success of protection. Bait sprays consist of hydrolyzed protein (serving as a bait) and of insecticide. In the past, many attempts were made to improve the attractiveness of bait spray solutions (Varikou et al., 2014; Varikou et al., 2015) and to develop new attract-and-kill traps (Potamitis et al., 2014; Yokoyama, 2014b). Varikou et al. (2014, 2015) observed reduced attractiveness in the attractant solution when plant protection products such as pyrethroids (lamba-cyhalothrin, alpha-cypermethrin) or organophosphorous (dimethoate) were added. The best results were obtained with a
A review of plant protection against the olive fly … methods to monitor the insecticide resistance alleles

combination of all tested proteins and pyrethroids. The only exception was a mixture of Entomela 75 hydrolyzed protein and alpha-cypermethrin, while dimethoate and spinosad solutions displayed weaker attractiveness to B. oleae. Although Spinosad did not perform well in this study, its effectiveness is confirmed in large scale trials (Varikou et al., 2015).

Another disadvantage of attractants is their limited effective duration. Attractants do not seem to last more than three to six days in traps and more than three days in bait spray applications (Varikou et al., 2014). More recent results indicate that the ability of tested bait sprays to attract and kill is limited to the first day only with no significant capture observed after the second or third day (Varikou et al., 2015). More research is needed to formulate spraying solutions offering acceptable olive crop protection (Varikou et al., 2015). However, important observations toward reducing the quantity of insecticide in bait spray solutions were provided by Varikou et al. (2016). They observed that one-third or half of the recommended volume of spraying solution, which is 300 ml per tree, was effective as well.

Other than residual bait activity time, other requirements for high efficacy of this method should be considered including area-wide application due to high mobility of adult flies and accurate timing so that the fruit infestation is avoided (Haniotakis, 2005). High mobility of olive flies was reported in a few field studies (Tzanakakis, 2003) and in population genetic analysis of B. oleae using microsatellite markers (Ochando and Reyes, 2000; Knap and Bandelj, 2016). In Greece, bait sprays are applied by tractors to almost all olive orchards and these applications are funded by the Hellenic Ministry of Rural Development and Food (Varikou et al., 2013).

Recently, a bait station for attraction and control of oriental fruit fly (Bactrocera dorsalis (Hendel, 1912)) was recently implemented (Piñero et al., 2010). Such attract-and-kill bait stations have the advantage over foliar applications, because the insecticidal bait is protected from weather conditions. Bait stations were tested for effectiveness against the olive fly as well (Yokoyama, 2014a). The authors didn’t confirm longer toxicity of insecticides due to protection of bait spray from weather (bait sprays and foliage applications were protected from rain in this study). The level of toxicity was lost after one week on bait stations and olive foliage. However, the major advantages of bait stations are that they are protected from rain and reduce the amount of bait spray used in olive orchard.

Traps are used for mass trapping or for olive fly monitoring with the aim to determine the appropriate time for treatments. Burrack et al. (2008) tested different traps (yellow sticky traps, ChamP traps and plastic McPhail traps) and different lures. Mcphail traps baited with torula yeast tablets were the most efficient. Results were confirmed by Varikou et al. (2013) when compared with yellow sticky panels and McPhail traps. Although the number of attracted flies in McPhails traps didn’t provide a good estimation of the olive fly density, it was concluded that they can be accurate in determining the timing of spraying against B. oleae. McPhails traps are recommended in California. To monitor the olive fly population, Varikou et al. (2013, 2016) used McPhail glass traps with 2 % ammonium sulphate which was replaced by 2 % hydrolyzed protein (Entomela 75 %) at the end of August in the latest research. Traps based on proteins and other sources of ammonia primarily attract female flies because they require source of protein to ensure high fecundity (Hagen and Finney, 1950). Addition of pheromones didn’t significantly increase olive fruit fly captures (Varikou et al., 2014). In Greek orchards ammonium salts are still used in McPhail traps during the whole period (summer and autumn), although it was proven that its attractiveness was significantly reduced compared to all tested protein hydrolysates (Haniotakis, 2005; Varikou et al., 2014). In Slovenia, the olive fly is monitored with yellow sticky traps with an added pheromone (Dacotrap®, Isagro S.p.a., Milan, Italy) (Knap and Bandelj, 2016). Rojnić et al. (2015) observed that McPhail traps with hydrolyzed protein were more attractive to olive flies than yellow sticky traps baited with a pheromone. However, the correlation coefficients that were calculated using the cumulative capture of olive flies were high, which proved the comparability of these two trap types. Disadvantages of McPhail traps are their lack of...
specificity in that they also attract non-target insects and are not efficient during periods of high humidity. However, since the information about female fecundity can be obtained by dissecting females caught in these traps, a combination of both McPhail traps and sex-pheromone baited traps gives the best population monitoring information (Bueno and Jones, 2002). Gil-Ortiz (2015) exposed the problem with biodegradability in commercial pheromone dispensers made of plastic polymers in which the pheromone is encapsulated and suggested the use of mesoporous materials as an ecological alternative. Some other target devices like plywood rectangles or bags dipped in insecticide, together with attractant and sex pheromone, were tested as well (Bueno and Jones, 2002).

Attempts were made with the aim to find out if there is a relation between captures in traps and infestation of olive fly, but no relation was observed (Varikou et al., 2016).

2.1 Spinosad toxicity in comparison with other insecticides and its impact on beneficial organisms

Akmoutsou et al. (2011) evaluated toxicity of spinosad and deltamethrin on B. oleae. Data showed that at the lowest concentrations of 0.05 mg l\(^{-1}\) and 0.10 mg l\(^{-1}\), deltamethrin caused significantly higher mortality than spinosad, while at concentrations of 0.50 mg l\(^{-1}\) to 4.00 mg l\(^{-1}\) the lethal effects were similar. However, high mortality was observed after 72 h of exposure, suggesting a delayed lethal effect and that long periods of application may be needed for high mortality events to occur. Gonçalves et al. (2012) compared efficacy of spinosad and dimethoate in bait sprays and impact on non-target arthropods. Results suggested that spinosad could have the same effectiveness as dimethoate. Recently implemented studies of spinosad effect on non-targeted organisms revealed that it could be related to the meteorological conditions (Gonçalves et al., 2012), however, GF-120 indicated compatibility with the most important groups of natural enemies present in olive groves with the exception of Orius spp. and Aphelinidae (Pascual et al., 2014).

2.2 Alternative methods for protection against olive fly

As an alternative to commonly used insecticides, protection against olive fly with kaolin was suggested (Saour and Makee, 2004). However, a few studies reported that kaolin has a negative effect on the arthropod communities at soil level (Pascual et al., 2010; Bengochea et al., 2014).

An environmentally safe alternative to insect pest control is the sterile insect technique (SIT) (Zygouridis et al., 2014). This is a species-specific method of insect suppression in which insects are mass-reared under factory conditions, sterilized by irradiation, and then released (Leftwich et al., 2016). However, due to their adaptation to factory, laboratory, and irradiation, flies have significantly reduced fitness (Leftwich et al., 2016). Zygouridis et al. (2014) observed a substantial loss of variability between F1 and F2-F5 generations in the laboratory, while in F11 a complete adaptation to the new laboratory environment occurs. It was suggested that loss of variability is responsible for the loss of wild characters like low competitiveness of the sterile mass-reared males compared with the wild ones. Loss of variability was shown with microsatellite markers. Authors suggested a solution to refresh a mass-reared colony with wild material at about every five to eight generations (Zygouridis et al., 2014). Efforts to develop a vigorous and efficient mass-reared laboratory olive fly strain is underway. Additionally, a transgenic RIDL (release of insects carrying a dominant lethal) system is trying to overcome the limitations of SIT technology and the first transgenic strains for the olive fly were already developed (Ant et al., 2012; Genç et al., 2016).

Biological protection is the most sustainable method for the environment. A review of biological control attempts was made by Daane and Johnson (2010), concluding that biological control programs previously used did not consistently provide adequate levels of control across the range of climates and olive cultivars commercially grown. Between 2006 and 2013 a trial with a field release of specialized parasitoids, Psyttalia lounsburyi (Silvestri, 1913) and Psyttalia humilis (Silvestri, 1913), was conducted in California (Daane et al., 2015). However, they
encountered inherent difficulties of establishing parasitoids in the field due to climatic extremes as well as because of periods with low host densities.

Effectiveness of soil applications beneath the tree canopy with entomopathogenic fungus *Metarhizium brunneum* Petch. EAMa 01/58-Su strain, perfectly adapted to Mediterranean soil conditions has been recently evaluated. Two applications were made per year from 2010 to 2015, once in autumn to target larvae that exit from the fruits to the ground to pupate beneath the tree and spend the winter in the pupal stage and once in spring to target the emerging adults. A high reduction (50% to 70%) in the *B. oleae* population emerging during the spring from the soil of treated plots was seen compared to controls plots. The authors marked it as efficient biological control method (Yousef et al., 2016).

With the aim to identify new natural enemies of the olive fly, a PCR-based diagnostic assay for detection of *B. oleae* in the gut of insects was developed (Rejili et al., 2016).

New control methods can be developed with new knowledge about microorganisms associated with the olive fly (Malacrinò et al., 2015). One example is the incompatible insect technique (IIT), which employs the cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) induced by an insect symbiont such as *Wolbachia* (Apostolaki et al., 2011).

### 3 IDENTIFICATION OF RESISTANCE ALLELS

Since most control programmes against the olive fruit fly have been based on the use of insecticides like OPs, pyrethroids, and spinosad in the last few years, olive flies have developed resistance against them (Vontas et al., 2001; Haniotakis, 2005; Margaritopoulos et al., 2008; Kakani et al., 2010; Daane et al., 2015)). As observed by Kakani et al. (2010) in California where spinosad is the only registered phyto-pharmaceutical product, its exclusive use has led to greater levels of resistance. The intensity of the resistance was shown to be strongly correlated with local history of spinosad use. Five populations from California demonstrated a 9 to 13-fold increase.

#### 3.1 Resistance to organophosphates

One of the earlier studies of resistance to organophosphorus insecticides of *B. oleae* suggested that the resistance is based on increased expression of AChE (acetylcholinesterase) or a gene duplication (Tsakas, 1977). Vontas et al. (2001) showed with biochemical assays that modification of AChE is the dominant factor in organophosphate resistance in *B. oleae* (other metabolic pathways were not found to have major roles in resistance to OPs). AChE terminates nerve impulses by catalyzing the hydrolysis of the neurotransmitter acetylcholine. It is a key enzyme in the insect nervous system (Mutero et al., 1994). Comparison of the cDNA sequences of *B. oleae* which encode AChE in susceptible and organophosphate resistant *B. oleae* revealed two polymorphisms, resulting in amino acid substitution (I214V and G488S) in the insecticide resistant strains. A combination of I214V and S488G was found in all samples. A field population collected from Attiki, Greece, possessing both mutations, exhibit 16-fold AChE insensitivity compared to susceptible *B. oleae* (Vontas et al., 2002). There were some examples, when mutation 214V was found in the absence of 488S (Hawkes et al., 2005; Nardi et al., 2006). On the contrary, the mutation G488S is almost always accompanied by I214V (Hawkes et al., 2005).

Pereira-Castro et al. (2015) observed all samples from Iberia to have both mutations in the same chromosome.

When *ace* (gene for AChE) locus was sequenced by Kakani et al. (2008), a new mutation was discovered, 9 bp deletion (termed Δ3Q) in exon X, which showed a strong correlation with OP-resistance levels. They analyzed olive flies which were previously used by Skouras et al. (2007) in an insecticide assay to study the resistance to dimethoate in *B. oleae* populations from Greece, its islands, and Cyprus. Populations from Crete showed the highest resistance ratio values from 30 to 64 (calculated as a ratio of median effective dose (ED$_{50}$) of each tested population and ED$_{50}$ of the laboratory susceptible strain), while on Cyprus all populations showed low resistance levels (resistance ratio less than 10). The mutation
resulted in a deletion of three glutamine residues at positions 642-644. Since it was always found as a heterozygous, the authors suggested higher fitness costs of Δ3Q mutation. They suggested that I214V and G488S are the first ones to be selected under the minimum OP pressure, while Δ3Q appears to be associated with resistance at higher OP doses. Δ3Q is located outside the catalytic center of the enzyme and it is suggested that it affects the glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchoring efficiency or the stability of the protein. A more detailed account of the role of Δ3Q and two other mutations were discussed by Kakani and Mathiopoulos (2008) and Kakani et al. (2011).

Pereira-Castro et al. (2015) identified a new mutation that causes an alanine to valine substitution at residue 298 (A298V). However, a functional role has not yet been solved.

3.2 Resistance to other insecticides

Regarding the resistance to alpha-cypermethrin, it was indicated that cytochrome P450 monooxygenase could be involved in a resistance mechanism. While no correlation between enzyme activity and resistance was found with carboxylesterase (COE) and glutathione-S-transferase (GST), as well as genetic polymorphism of domain IIs4-IIs6 of the B. oleae para-type sodium channel could not be responsible for resistance (Margaritopoulos et al., 2008).

Resistance to insecticides has been studied with NGS technologies as well. A whole transcriptome analysis of spinosad susceptible and resistant flies indicated that several immune system loci as well as elevated energy requirements of the resistant flies might be necessary to lever the detoxification process (Efthimia et al., 2014).

Pavlidi et al. (2013) studied B. oleae mRNA and identified at least 132 putative major detoxification genes involved in the metabolism of xenobiotics, such as plant phytotoxins and insecticides.

4 MOLECULAR METHODS FOR MONITORING THE RESISTANCE ALLELES TO OPS

With the aim to easily detect the presence of I214V in exon III (assigned to exon IV by Kakani and Mathiopoulos (2008)) and G488S in exon VI (assigned to exon VII), a simple PCR-RFLP assay was developed (Hawkes et al., 2005). Detection of I214V is based on the fact that I214V mutation creates a site for the restriction enzyme AccI, while detection of G488S is based on associated neutral polymorphism, which destroys a site for BssHII (sequence conservation and the correlation between the two G to A transitions should be confirmed by sequencing of alleles in any studies). Primers Boace3F and Boace3R were designed for amplification of 232 bp fragment within exon III, while Boace6F and Boace6R amplify 106 bp product within exon VI. The presence of resistant alleles is identified with AccI digested two fragments (168 bp and 64 bp) and the presence of full-length (106 bp) resistance-associated exon VI alleles. Susceptible alleles (G488) give bands of 50 and 56 bp.

Nardi et al. (2006) developed primers to amplify two regions that nearly completely include exons III and VI that carry the two mentioned mutations: BoAce_518F and BoAce_1040R; BoAce_1424F and BoAce_1519R, respectively. After primer removal the amplified fragments correspond to 521 bp of 543 bp in exon III and 94 bp of putative 150 bp in exon VI. After PCR reaction, both strands were sequenced and sequences showing double peaks were recorded as heterozygotes and subjected to cloning to resolve and differentiate the two alleles.

Margaritopoulos et al. (2008) developed a new PCR-RFLP diagnostic assay for G488S mutation. The method, previously developed by Hawkes et al. (2005) is based on G488S associated neutral polymorphism, but according to sequences of allele d and f, which were obtained by Nardi et al. (2006), this two mutations are not always present together. Newly developed primers (D6F, D6R) directly target the resistance mutation G448S. A reverse primer, D6R, has been designed to
introduce a base substitution into 100 bp PCR product, which in combination with the resistant allele generates a recognition site for MboI. Digested PCR product results in two fragments, 31 bp and 69 bp in length.

Kakani et al. (2008) aimed to analyze the nucleotide sequence of the ace locus in order to isolate additional mutations and therefore developed five primer pairs for amplification of exons II, III-IV (includes introns), VIII, IX, and X. Forward primer for exons III-IV is labeled as Boace3F, which is the same as the primer developed by Hawkes et al. (2005) but with different sequence, so a caution has to be taken when using this primer. Boace10F and Boace10R primers were used for detection of Δ3Q mutation. PCR of the wild type allele yields a 96 bp product whereas PCR of the mutant allele yields an 87 bp product.

Kakani et al. (2013) developed PCR-RFLP, allele-specific, and Taq-Man assay methods for the identification of Δ3Q mutation. In the first method, Boace10F and Boace10R primers were used for the amplification of exon X. PCR product of the wild allele, 96 bp in length, is digested with MwoI enzyme, and results in two fragments, 59 bp and 37 bp. PCR product of the mutant allele, 87 bp in length, remains undigested because the Δ3Q mutation affects restriction site. Digestion enables detection of genotype on a gel with lower resolution compared to undigested fragments. An allele specific method is done with primers Ex10wt3’F-IMP and Boace10R (to test the presence of the wild type allele, 76 bp fragment) or with primers Ex10mut3’F-IMP and Boace10R (to test the presence of the mutant Δ3Q allele, 67 bp fragment). The two Ex10 primers are identical except for the last 3’ base that provides the specificity for one or the other allele and introduces internal mismatch in order to increase the specificity. For the detection of I214V and G488S mutations a new duplex qPCR assay was developed.

Pereira-Castro et al. (2015) developed new primers for amplification of different segments of the ace gene to achieve a more complete analysis of haplotypes associated with OP-resistant and OP-sensitive ace alleles (primers were named Bo12 or Bo14 followed by a dash and a number).

### 5 FREQUENCY OF RESISTANT ALLELES TO OP ON THE FIELD

#### 5.1 Frequency of I214V and G488S

Hawkes et al. (2005) analyzed samples from Greece, Albania, Italy, France, Spain, and South Africa for the presence of I214V and G488S. 488S was detected in all samples from Greece, almost all field samples from Albania, while high levels were observed in two Italian locations and at lower frequencies in France and Spain. The majority of these 488S individuals also carried 214V. Double mutation haplotype is lower in western Mediterranean regions, which was attributed to lower usage of OPs. Samples from South Africa were homozygous for wild-type for both alterations.

Results of Hawkes et al. (2005) were confirmed by Nardi et al. (2006) where olive flies from Pakistan, Africa, Mediterranean countries, the Middle East, and America were analyzed. No resistant alleles were identified in Pakistan and African samples, low to moderate (50 %) frequency was observed in the Middle East and America samples, while the highest proportion of resistance alleles was observed in the Mediterranean area, where frequencies approach 100 % in Greece and (central/southern) Italy. However, in France and Portugal the frequency of resistance alleles was below 30 % and 0 %, respectively. Nardi et al. (2006) identified 3.4 % samples of chromosomes carrying only I214V (previously identified by Hawkes et al. (2005) in only one French sample). Interestingly, two alleles carrying the mutation I214V were identified (named A and W) which differ by 6 synonymous substitutions. Allele W was found on the island of Sicily, whereas resistant allele A was present at high frequency throughout Greece and south/central Italy. It was suggested that two independent acquisitions of this mutation occurred. Assuming that the mutation most likely happened in an area where the precursor alleles are present, authors hypothesized that allele A arose in the Middle East (the same is suggested for the allele carrying mutation G488S). The high frequency and broad geographic distribution of
allele A compared to that of allele W, would suggest that allele A is older.

Pereira-Castro et al. (2015) analyzed olive flies from the Iberian Peninsula (Portugal and Spain) and both I214V and G488S mutations were found at medium to high frequencies in all locations, demonstrating they are now widespread even in Portugal, while in Andalusia their frequencies vary widely, from 20 % to 90 %. Since complete concordance between the zygosities of I214V and G488S was observed, authors suggested that the chromosome carrying both substitutions was introduced.

Doğâç et al. (2015) studied olive flies collected in 2010 from 12 provinces from Aegean and Mediterranean regions in Turkey and found that resistant forms of exon III and VI had a low to moderate frequency, while they reached the highest frequency, nearly 80 %, in the Aegean populations. This indicates that they were selected in the Aegean coast of Turkey and then spread westward towards Europe. Aegean populations showed a more limited variability of exon III and VI as well.

Hanife (2016) analyzed flies from Çanakkale province, Turkey, for the presence of G488S mutation. Olives sampled in 2006 showed 31.7 % resistant allele homozygosity, 54.14 % were homozygous in 2007, while in 2013, 81.77 % of homozygous flies were observed. Only 3.10 % and 1.10 % of susceptible flies were observed in 2006 and 2007, respectively, while no susceptible flies were identified in 2013. Resistance development is evident in the field as well, since many local farmers complained about inefficiency of their applications.

5.2 Frequency of Δ3Q mutation

The analysis of Δ3Q mutation distribution in the Mediterranean (Israel, France, Cyprus, Greece, Italy, Spain, Portugal and Morocco) revealed the highest frequencies, 12.5 % and 11.1 % found in Greece and Italy, respectively, whereas a gradual decrease of Δ3Q frequency towards the western Mediterranean was also noted. In Portugal no resistant allele was found (Kakani et al., 2013). Results are consistent with the distribution of the other two resistance associated point mutations (Hawkes et al., 2005; Nardi et al., 2006). The absence of Δ3Q in Portugal was confirmed recently by Pereira-Castro et al. (2015). However, they didn’t observed Δ3Q mutation in Spain, but Kakani et al. (2013) detected one allele with Δ3Q mutation.

Doğâç et al. (2015) monitored the presence of Δ3Q mutation in the Mediterranean and Aegean regions of Turkey. They observed Δ3Q mutation to be more widespread in the Mediterranean region with frequencies from 6 % to 20 %. In the Aegean region with greater insecticide pressure, lower frequencies of Δ3Q were observed (from 2 % to 8 %, while in some locations it was not even detected). All previous observations of several authors identified Δ3Q to be always in a heterozygous state. However, Doğâç et al. (2015) identified one homozygous sample in the Hatay and one in the Aydın populations.

6 CONCLUSIONS

Genetic studies revealed that OPs resistance loci (I214V, G488S) are now present in all European Mediterranean countries. The first studies suggested (Hawkes et al., 2005; Nardi et al., 2006) that selection caused by insecticide had the greatest impact on the resistance loci expansion, while some other evolutionary forces were suggested as well. However, since both mutations are now widespread in Portugal and Spain with frequencies above 80 %, it could be suggested that new alleles were in the process of introduction in the last few decades. This hypothesis is supported by Pereira-Castro et al. (2015) who found a great difference in resistant associated allele frequencies between locations, only few kilometers apart. The differences were attributed to recent introduction of resistant alleles. However, differences in frequencies of resistant associated alleles were found in Turkey as well (Doğâç et al., 2015), possibly due to local specificity of insecticides use. Another interesting finding was observed by the same authors who identified higher frequency of Δ3Q mutation in a region with lower frequencies of the other two mutations. They explained this
phenomenon with greater fitness disadvantage compared with that of the other two point mutations (Δ3Q offers resistance to only some insecticides pressure). Genetic and biochemical studies showed that high levels of resistance to insecticides from different classes have been developed. In order to reduce the amount of insecticide used in the future and to prevent the development of resistance, further research work on efficient plant protection methods should be continued.
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