### Bivariate analysis of the genetic variability among some accessions of African Yam Bean (Sphenostylis stenocarpa (Hochst ex A. Rich)Harms)

Solomon Tayo AKINYOSOYE (M.Sc)<sup>1\*</sup>, Johnson Adedayo ADETUMBI (Ph.D)<sup>1</sup>, Oluwafemi Daniel AMUSA (M.Sc)<sup>2</sup>, Adeola AGBELEYE (M.Sc)<sup>1</sup>, Folake ANJORIN (M.Sc)<sup>1</sup>, Mercy Oluremi OLOWOLAFE (M.Sc)<sup>1</sup> and Taiwo OMODELE (M.Sc)<sup>1</sup>

Received March 24, 2017; accepted December 08, 2017. Delo je prispelo 24. marca 2017, sprejeto 08. decembra 2017.

#### ABSTRACT

Variability is an important factor to consider in crop improvement programmes. This study was conducted in two years to assess genetic variability and determine relationship between seed yield, its components and tuber production characters among twelve accessions of African yam bean. Data collected were subjected to combined analysis of variance (ANOVA), Principal Component Analysis (PCA), hierarchical and K-means clustering analyses. Results obtained revealed that genotype by year  $(G \times Y)$  interaction had significant effects on some of variables measured (days to first flowering, days to 50 % flowering, number of pod per plant, pod length, seed yield and tuber yield per plant) in this study. The first five principal components (PC) with Eigen values greater than 1.0 accounted for about 66.70 % of the total variation, where PC1 and PC 2 accounted for 39.48 % of variation and were associated with seed and tuber yield variables. Three heterotic groups were clearly delineated among genotypes with accessions AY03 and AY10 identified for high seed yield and tuber yield respectively. Nonsignificant relationship that existed between tuber and seed yield per plant of these accessions was recommended for further test in various agro-ecologies for their suitability, adaptability and possible exploitation of heterosis to further improve the accessions.

Key words: African yam bean; morpho-agronomic characters; Pearson's correlation; principal component analysis

### IZVLEČEK

### BIVARIATNA ANALIZA GENETSKE RAZNOLIKOSTI MED AKCESIJAMI AFRIŠKEGA GOMOLJASTEGA FIŽOLA (Sphenostylis stenocarpa (Hochst. ex A. Rich.) Harms)

Variabilnost je zelo pomemben dejavnik v žlahtnenjenju poljščin. Dvoletna raziskava je bila opravljena s ciljem ovrednotenja genetske variabilnosti in analize odnosov med komponentami pridelka semena ter tvorbe in značilnosti gomoljev med 12 akcesijami afriškega gomoljastega fižola. Zbrani podatki so bili obdelani z analizo variance (ANOVA), analizo glavnih komponent (PCA), hierarhično in klastersko analizo. Izsledki so pokazali, da so imele interakcije med genotipi in leti (G × Y) statistično značilen vpliv na nekatere analizirane spremenljivke kot so dnevi do prvega cvetenja, dnevi do 50 % cvetenja, število strokov na rastlino, dolžina stroka ter pridelek semen in gomoljev na rastlino. Prvih pet glavnih component (PC) z lastnimi vrednostmi več kot 1.0 je prispevalo 66.70 % celokupne variabilnosti. PC1 in PC 2 pa sta pridali še 39.48 % variabilnosti v povezavi s pridelkom semena in gomoljev. Med genotipi so se jasno oblikovale tri heterotične skupine in akcesija AY03 je bila prepoznana po velikem pridelku semen, AY10 pa po velikem pridelku gomoljev. Neznačilno povezavo med pridelkom semen in gomoljev pri teh akcesijah bi bilo priporočljivo dodatno testirati glede prilagodljivosti na različne agroekološke razmere, možnosti njihovega izboljšanja in potencialne uporabe heterotičnega učinka.

Ključne besede: afriški gomoljasti fižol; morfološkoagronomske lastnosti; Pearsonova korelacija; analiza glavnih komponent

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Institute of Agricultural Research and Training, Obafemi Awolowo University, P.M.B. 5029, Moor Plantation, Ibadan, Nigeria; \*Corresponding author's e-mail: stakinyosoye@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Cell Biology and Genetics, University of Lagos, Akoka, Nigeria

### **1 INTRODUCTION**

Global food security is being threatened with increasing dependence on a few major staple crops and this has resulted in an alarming reduction in crop diversity and variability (Ikhajiagbe and Mensah, 2012). African yam bean (Sphenostylis stenocarpa) (AYB) is one of the under-utilized legumes grown in Nigeria, Central African Republic, Gabon, Zaire and Ethiopia. It is used as food or food components and provides two consumable products; the tuber which grows as the root sink and the actual yam beans which develop in pods above ground (Olasoji et al., 2011). AYB performs better when intercropped than when grown as sole crop (Adenivan et al., 2007). Grain yield of about 248 -4,130.46 kg/ha has been reported for this crop (Adewale, 2011). Like other grain legumes, AYB is an excellent food, low in fats and rich in protein, carbohydrate, fibre, minerals and vitamins (Akande et al., 2012).

Information on the genetic variability among genotypes and its potential use is vital in the crop genetic improvement. This will enhance the identification of useful genes and their behaviour in breeding programmes (Ogunniyan and Olakojo, 2014). However, numerous techniques have been proposed to estimate genetic divergence in crop accessions. Among such techniques are principal component analysis (PCA) proposed by Gower, 1966) and numerical taxonomic (Sneath, and Sokal, 1973). PCA is useful for reducing and interpreting large multivariate data sets with underlying linear structures and for discovering previously unsuspected relationships among crop characters (Ogunniyan and Olakojo, 2014).

Research has been carried out on AYB concerning morphological characteristics of the crop (Akande, 2009; Popoola et al., 2011); genetic variability in its seed quality (Olasoji et al., 2011); nutritive and antinutritive factors in the beans (Ajibade et al., 2005). However, there is little baseline information on the relationships between seed yield, tuber production and their components coupled with its improvement. Also, presently, there is no known released variety of the crop in Nigeria, it is only found in the hands of old farmers (Saka et al., 2004; Adewale et al., 2012; Ojuederie et al., 2014), thus make it vulnerable to extinction. Therefore, in order to accelerate the general acceptance of AYB as one of the means to proffer solution to the problem of malnutrition among children and nursing mothers in Sub-Saharan Africa, there is need to genetically improve AYB. The objectives of this research are therefore to assess genetic variability among twelve accessions of AYB from the forest agro-ecology of Nigeria using Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and also, determine the relationship among seed yield, its components and tuber production characters of the AYB accessions.

### 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twelve accessions of African yam bean (AYB) coded as AY01, AY02, AY03, AY04, AY05, AY06, AY07, AY08, AY09, AY10, AY11 and AY12 that were previously collected from six states of Nigeria (Figure 1) were obtained from the germplasm collection of the Institute of Agricultural Research and Training (IAR&T), Moor Plantation, Ibadan, Nigeria. The six states of collection fall within the forest agro-ecology of Nigeria. The experiment was carried out at the screen house of IAR & T, Ibadan in 2015 and 2016. Plastic pots were filled with 10 kg of sieved top soil. Two seeds were sown per pot which were later thinned to one plant per pot. The experiment was laid out in a completely randomised design with four replicates. Hand weeding was carried out once a month until the crops reaches physiological maturity. The plants were supported with long dry sticks. Insect pests were controlled with the application of Cypermethrin (200 g/l pyrethroid) E.C (NPIC, 1988) at 1.5 ml/litre with one spoon of fungicide powder (Benlate with active ingredient: (50 % methyl 1-(butylcarbamoyl-2-benzimidazolecarbamate)

manufactured by DuPont company, Wilmington, Delaware, U.S.A using knapsack sprayer at vegetative and flowering stages.



Figure 1: Locations and distribution of AYB accessions across collection sites

Twenty (20) morphological characters namely; days to first flowering, days to 50 % flowering, terminal leaf length (cm), terminal leaf width (cm), petiole length (cm), number of seeds per pod, number of pod per peduncle, number of pod per plant, pod mass per plant (g), pod length (cm), seed yield per plant (g), 100 seed yield per plant (g), days to physiological maturity, seed length (cm), seed width (cm), seed thickness (cm), tuber yield per plant (g), tuber smallest mass (g), tuber biggest mass (g), and number of tubers per plant were collected based on AYB descriptors (Adewale and Dumet, 2010).

Data obtained were subjected to combined analyses of variance (ANOVA). Difference between the treatments

were separated using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at 5 % or 1 % levels of significance. Principal component analysis was carried out and components with Eigen values > 1.0 were considered. Contributing characters with values > 0.6 were considered relevant for principal components (Matus et al., 1999). Accessions were clustered into groups based on hierarchical clustering using squared Euclidean distance and k-means clustering analysis was used to evaluate character contribution to clustering groups. Pearson's moment correlations between morpho-agronomic characters and tuber yield characters were determined using IBM SPSS (Version 23.0, 2015).

### **3 RESULTS**

### **3.1** Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for morphoagronomic variables of AYB accessions

Combined analysis of variance (ANOVA) for morphoagronomic variables of the 12 AYB accessions evaluated in 2015 and 2016 are presented in Table 1. The results of the combined analysis revealed that genotype exhibited significant differences in all of the variables except terminal leaflet length, petiole length and number of seeds per pod. There were significant differences in some of the variables based on years of evaluation while results of variables such as terminal leaf length, terminal leaf width, petiole length, number of seeds per pod, number of pod per peduncle, 100 seed mass, seed length and seed width were not significantly different from each other in both years (across the years). Also, genotype by year ( $G \times Y$ ) interaction had significant effects on some of the variables (days to first flowering, days to 50 % flowering, number of pod per plant, pod length, seed yield per plant and tuber yield per plant) in this study.

| Table 1: Comb  | oined analysis | of variance | (ANOVA) | of AYB | accessions | based or | morpho-agronomic | characters |
|----------------|----------------|-------------|---------|--------|------------|----------|------------------|------------|
| evaluated in 2 | 015 and 2016   |             |         |        |            |          |                  |            |

|                                | Mean squares |            |            |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Variables                      | Genotype(G)  | Year(Y)    | G x Y      | Error  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Days to first flowering        | 304.21**     | 2552.34**  | 265.96**   | 50.41  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Days to 50% flowering          | 426.97**     | 2223.38**  | 401.08**   | 38.21  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Terminal leaf length (cm)      | 2.33         | 10.29      | 1.67       | 1.41   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Terminal leaf width (cm)       | 0.71**       | 0.57       | 0.31       | 0.23   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Petiole length (cm)            | 0.61         | 7.34       | 1.01       | 0.66   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of seeds per pod        | 29.22        | 28.83      | 35.6       | 32.7   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of pod per peduncle     | 1.11**       | 0.17       | 0.33       | 0.36   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of pod per plant        | 190.85**     | 1340.04**  | 145.46**   | 56.79  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pod weight per plant (g)       | 2533.40**    | 7208.24**  | 1333.82    | 783.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pod length (cm)                | 44.26**      | 1727.21**  | 48.55**    | 5.34   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed yield per plant (g)       | 1094.70**    | 3654.98**  | 745.21*    | 337.87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 seed yield per plant (g)   | 53.33**      | 16.02      | 9.72       | 10.23  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Days to physiological maturity | 145.81**     | 1268.76**  | 53.58      | 29.96  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed length (cm)               | 0.80*        | 0.08       | 0.17       | 0.33   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed width (cm)                | 0.65**       | 0.71       | 0.22       | 0.21   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed thickness (cm)            | 1.00**       | 1.18*      | 0.59       | 0.28   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tuber yield per plant (g)      | 22642.57**   | 76155.91** | 10530.08** | 3964.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tuber smallest mass (g)        | 169.31**     | 6.63*      | 38.77      | 27.22  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tuber biggest mass (g)         | 3848.19**    | 885.8*     | 994.41     | 684.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of tubers per plant     | 44.32**      | 83.44**    | 13.41      | 7.64   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\*, \*\* Significant at (p < 0.05) and (p < 0.01) respectively

## **3.2** Effect of years of evaluation on the performance of AYB accessions

AYB accessions flowered earlier in 2016 (134 days after planting) than in 2015 (144 DAP) and attained 50 % flowering with mean values of 142 and 152 DAP in 2016 and 2015 respectively (Table 2a). Higher seed yield per plant and pod mass were recorded in 2016 (34.10 g and 50.29 g) than in 2015 (21.76 g and 32.96 g) respectively while 2015 significantly favoured tubers production with tuber yield per plant mean value of 102.36 g than in 2016 (46.03 g) (Table 2b; 2c; 2d). Significant genotypic differences were observed among the genotypes evaluated in 2015 and 2016; accessions AY03 and AY01 had the highest seed yield per plant with values of 54.76 g and 76.05 g in 2015 and 2016 respectively while the lowest seed yield per plant was obtained in AY08 (8.26 g) and AY12 (14.65 g) in 2015 and 2016 respectively (Table 2b; 2c). On the other hand, AY02 gave the highest tuber yield per plant (265.58 g) in 2015 and AY10 gave the highest tuber yield per plant (150.28 g) in 2016 while AY11 and AY12 did not produce tubers in both years (Table 2d).

# **3.3** Mean performance of AYB accessions based on morpho-agronomic variables across the year of evaluation

Significant genotypic differences were observed among the genotypes evaluated across the years; days to first flowering varied from 131 to 149 days in accessions (AY07, AY08) and AY12 respectively with mean of 139 days after planting. Accessions AY08 and AY12 attained 50 % flowering between 134 to 157 days respectively with mean of 147 days (Table 2a). AY01 and AY03 had higher seed yield per plant with values of 46.32 g and 47.50 g respectively compared to the other accessions. Number of pod per plant ranged from 4 to 21 with mean of 12. Pod mass among these accessions with mean of 41.63 g ranged from 18.86 g (AY12) to 69.26 g (AY03). AY05 had the longest pods (20.36 cm) while the shortest pod (12.98 cm) was obtained in AY08 with long and recorded mean value of 16.23 cm (Table 2b). Also, AY03 and AY12 attained physiological maturity between 197 to 210 days with mean value of 203 days (Table 2c). All of the AYB accessions produced tubers at maturity except AY11 and AY12 (Table 2d, Figure 2). Accessions AY10 and AY02 produced the highest average tuber yield per plant (150.28 g and 149.47 g respectively) (Table 2d). A high level of variability existed in the tuber characters of the accessions evaluated as reflected by coefficient of variation (CV) with the highest variability found in the smallest tuber masses, followed by tuber yield per plant, the biggest tubers mass per plant, seed yield per plant and pod mass per plant while the least variability was found in days to physiological maturity.

Table 2a: Mean values of the AYB accessions for morpho-agronomic characters evaluated in 2015 and 2016

|      | Dava to 1 <sup>st</sup> | flowering |           | Days to 50 % flowering |           |           | Terminal    | leaflet |       | Terminal  | leaflet |       | Petiole length |       |       |  |
|------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|----------------|-------|-------|--|
|      | Days to 1               | nowening  |           | Days to 50 % II        | lowering  |           | length (cm) | )       |       | width (cn | n)      |       | (cm)           |       |       |  |
| ACC. | 2015                    | 2016      | Mean      | 2015                   | 2016      | Mean      | 2015        | 2016    | Mean  | 2015      | 2016    | Mean  | 2015           | 2016  | Mean  |  |
| AY01 | 142.75ab                | 130.25ab  | 136.50cde | 150.00abc              | 135.50e   | 142.75def | 8.00a       | 8.33a   | 8.17a | 3.12a     | 3.14a   | 3.13a | 4.34a          | 6.02a | 5.18a |  |
| AY02 | 143.50ab                | 142.50a   | 143.00abc | 149.50abc              | 147.50bcd | 148.50bcd | 8.20a       | 7.09a   | 7.64a | 2.97a     | 2.69a   | 2.83a | 5.15a          | 4.79a | 4.97a |  |
| AY03 | 136.00b                 | 131.50ab  | 133.80de  | 141.50c                | 142.75de  | 142.13def | 7.38a       | 7.70a   | 7.54a | 2.92a     | 3.58a   | 3.25a | 4.82a          | 5.36a | 5.09a |  |
| AY04 | 149.25ab                | 135.00ab  | 142.10abc | 160.00a                | 144.00cde | 152.00abc | 7.04a       | 7.78a   | 7.41a | 2.63a     | 2.93a   | 2.78a | 4.84a          | 5.29a | 5.07a |  |
| AY05 | 143.25ab                | 135.00ab  | 139.10cde | 150.50abc              | 145.00cd  | 147.75cde | 8.87a       | 7.29a   | 8.08a | 3.41a     | 3.06a   | 3.23a | 4.47a          | 4.81a | 4.64a |  |
| AY06 | 145.50ab                | 141.50ab  | 143.50abc | 152.75abc              | 154.75ab  | 153.75abc | 6.47a       | 6.59a   | 6.53c | 2.46a     | 2.46a   | 2.46a | 3.74a          | 4.59a | 4.17a |  |
| AY07 | 139.25ab                | 123.00bc  | 131.10e   | 148.25abc              | 125.00f   | 136.63fg  | 7.50a       | 7.49a   | 7.50a | 3.07a     | 3.20a   | 3.14a | 4.04a          | 5.46a | 4.75a |  |
| AY08 | 153.00a                 | 109.75c   | 131.40e   | 158.00ab               | 110.75 g  | 134.38g   | 8.45a       | 7.58a   | 8.02a | 3.33 a    | 2.94a   | 3.14a | 4.52a          | 5.08a | 4.80a |  |
| AY09 | 150.25ab                | 144.50a   | 147.40ab  | 157.50abc              | 153.00abc | 155.25ab  | 7.26a       | 6.04a   | 6.65a | 2.61a     | 2.24a   | 2.43a | 4.96a          | 4.42a | 4.69a |  |
| AY10 | 134.50b                 | 131.25ab  | 132.90de  | 142.75bc               | 140.00de  | 141.38ef  | 8.97a       | 7.35a   | 8.16a | 3.64a     | 2.84a   | 3.24a | 4.27a          | 5.80a | 5.04a |  |
| AY11 | 144.75ab                | 135.00ab  | 139.90bcd | 151.50abc              | 148.25bcd | 149.88bc  | 8.83a       | 6.81a   | 7.82a | 3.44 a    | 2.93a   | 3.19a | 4.85a          | 4.99a | 4.92a |  |
| AY12 | 150.00ab                | 149.00a   | 149.50a   | 156.75abc              | 157a      | 156.88a   | 8.17a       | 7.22a   | 7.70a | 3.26a     | 2.99a   | 3.13a | 4.67a          | 4.72a | 4.70a |  |
| Mean | 144.33a                 | 134.02b   | 139.2     | 151.58a                | 141.96b   | 146.77    | 7.93a       | 7.27a   | 7.6   | 3.07a     | 2.92a   | 2.99  | 4.56a          | 5.11a | 4.83  |  |
| CV   | 4.43                    | 5.78      | 5.1       | 4.3                    | 4.11      | 4.21      | 16.32       | 14.66   | 15.6  | 15.92     | 16.01   | 16    | 18.24          | 15.42 | 16.8  |  |

|      | Number of seed<br>per pod |        | Number of pods per peduncle |       |       | Number of plant | Number of pods per<br>plant |         |           | er plant (g) |          | Pod length (cm) |           |          |         |
|------|---------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|-------|-------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------|-----------|--------------|----------|-----------------|-----------|----------|---------|
| ACC. | 2015                      | 2016   | Mean                        | 2015  | 2016  | Mean            | 2015                        | 2016    | Mean      | 2015         | 2016     | Mean            | 2015      | 2016     | Mean    |
| AY01 | 14.00a                    | 17.22a | 15.61a                      | 1.50a | 2.00a | 1.75a           | 7.00a                       | 34.92a  | 20.96a    | 25.59bc      | 93.27a   | 59.43ab         | 24.41a    | 11.27bcd | 17.84bc |
| AY02 | 12.25a                    | 10.75a | 11.50a                      | 2.25a | 2.00a | 2.13a           | 12.25a                      | 13.25ab | 12.75abcd | 51.34abc     | 31.25bc  | 41.29abcd       | 17.74d    | 16.25ab  | 17.00bc |
| AY03 | 14.50a                    | 13.00a | 13.75a                      | 1.75a | 2.50a | 2.13a           | 14.75a                      | 19.38ab | 17.06     | 68.04a       | 70.47abc | 69.26a          | 20.19cd   | 8.90d    | 14.54cd |
| AY04 | 14.00a                    | 13.83a | 13.92a                      | 2.75a | 2.75a | 2.75a           | 10.50a                      | 18.88ab | 14.69abcd | 41.51abc     | 66.63abc | 54.07abc        | 20.95abcd | 8.18d    | 14.56cd |
| AY05 | 14.75a                    | 15.55a | 15.15a                      | 2.50a | 2.50a | 2.50a           | 10.50a                      | 19.50ab | 15.00abc  | 57.75ab      | 75.36ab  | 66.55a          | 22.60abc  | 18.12ab  | 20.36a  |
| AY06 | 14.50a                    | 14.25a | 14.38a                      | 2.25a | 2.00a | 2.13a           | 4.00a                       | 4.25b   | 4.13e     | 16.31c       | 22.10c   | 19.20d          | 20.11cd   | 9.01cd   | 14.56cd |
| AY07 | 14.00a                    | 11.92a | 12.96a                      | 1.75a | 1.75a | 1.75a           | 5.25a                       | 14.62ab | 9.94bcde  | 18.91c       | 40.71bc  | 29.81bcd        | 19.87cd   | 7.87d    | 13.87d  |
| AY08 | 10.50a                    | 11.57a | 11.04a                      | 1.25a | 1.75a | 1.50a           | 2.75a                       | 22.62ab | 12.69abcd | 12.49c       | 74.95ab  | 43.72abcd       | 18.01d    | 7.95d    | 12.98d  |
| AY09 | 13.25a                    | 13.00a | 13.13a                      | 1.50a | 1.75a | 1.63a           | 8.25a                       | 9.50b   | 8.88bcde  | 29.93abc     | 31.00bc  | 30.47bcd        | 18.80cd   | 18.75a   | 18.78ab |
| AY10 | 11.50a                    | 25.25a | 18.38a                      | 2.25a | 1.50a | 1.88a           | 13.25a                      | 18.25ab | 15.75abc  | 31.70abc     | 55.29abc | 43.50abcd       | 18.13d    | 16.05abc | 17.09bc |
| AY11 | 14.00a                    | 14.16a | 14.08a                      | 2.00a | 2.00a | 2.00a           | 8.00a                       | 8.25b   | 8.13cde   | 25.50bc      | 21.22c   | 23.36cd         | 20.48bcd  | 8.52d    | 14.50cd |
| AY12 | 14.25a                    | 14.14a | 14.20a                      | 1.50a | 1.75a | 1.63a           | 4.75a                       | 7.5b    | 6.13de    | 16.48c       | 21.25c   | 18.86d          | 24.34a    | 12.97bcd | 18.65ab |
| Mean | 13.46a                    | 14.55a | 14.01                       | 1.94a | 2.02a | 1.98            | 8.44b                       | 15.91a  | 12.17     | 32.96b       | 50.29a   | 41.63           | 20.47a    | 11.99b   | 16.23   |
| CV   | 16                        | 53.56  | 40.83                       | 35.73 | 24.4  | 30.36           | 67.31                       | 56.68   | 61.9      | 71.84        | 63.05    | 67.22           | 7.73      | 23.87    | 14.24   |

Table 2b: Mean values of the AYB accessions for morpho-agronomic characters evaluated in 2015 and 2016

| Table 2c: Mean values of the AYB accessions for morpho-agronomic characters evaluated in 2015 and 2016 |
|--|
|--|

|      | Seed yield per plant (g) |         |          | 100 seed y | ield per plant (g) |        | Days to phy | ysiological maturity | Seed ler   | ngth (cm) |       | Seed wi | _     |       |       |
|------|--------------------------|---------|----------|------------|--------------------|--------|-------------|----------------------|------------|-----------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| ACC. | 2015                     | 2016    | Mean     | 2015       | 2016               | Mean   | 2015        | 2016                 | Mean       | 2015      | 2016  | Mean    | 2015  | 2016  | Mean  |
| AY01 | 16.60b                   | 76.05a  | 46.32a   | 21.32a     | 21.33a             | 21.33a | 210.25a     | 198.00abcd           | 204.13abc  | 8.12a     | 8.20a | 8.16a   | 6.01a | 5.84a | 5.93a |
| AY02 | 30.70ab                  | 30.25ab | 30.47abc | 20.88a     | 20.88a             | 20.88a | 197.75a     | 197.75abcd           | 197.75de   | 7.58a     | 7.50a | 7.54a   | 6.30a | 5.75a | 6.03a |
| AY03 | 54.76a                   | 40.24ab | 47.50a   | 23.30a     | 21.89a             | 22.59a | 197.75a     | 195.75bcd            | 196.75e    | 7.51a     | 7.74a | 7.63a   | 6.18a | 6.22a | 6.20a |
| AY04 | 15.37b                   | 34.48ab | 24.93bc  | 20.40a     | 17.13a             | 18.77a | 207.00a     | 193.50cd             | 200.25bcde | 7.75a     | 7.90a | 7.83a   | 5.76a | 6.25a | 6.00a |
| AY05 | 40.60ab                  | 38.78ab | 39.69ab  | 26.27a     | 28.27a             | 27.27a | 206.25a     | 200.25abcd           | 203.25abcd | 8.11a     | 7.61a | 7.86a   | 6.29a | 5.84a | 6.07a |
| AY06 | 11.16b                   | 15.84b  | 13.50c   | 22.55a     | 22.75a             | 22.65a | 206.75a     | 193.75cd             | 200.25bcde | 7.95a     | 7.50a | 7.72a   | 6.40a | 6.01a | 6.21a |
| AY07 | 13.06b                   | 26.12ab | 19.59bc  | 20.48a     | 23.59a             | 22.03a | 206.00a     | 192.00d              | 199.00cde  | 7.57a     | 7.46a | 7.51a   | 5.98a | 6.15a | 6.07a |
| AY08 | 8.26b                    | 41.36ab | 24.81bc  | 26.12a     | 26.55a             | 26.34a | 207.75a     | 203.50abc            | 205.63ab   | 8.41a     | 8.39a | 8.40a   | 6.88a | 6.50a | 6.69a |
| AY09 | 20.85ab                  | 23.00b  | 21.93bc  | 18.55a     | 18.55a             | 18.55a | 209.25a     | 207.50a              | 208.38a    | 7.48a     | 7.00a | 7.24a   | 5.88a | 5.25a | 5.56a |
| AY10 | 22.45ab                  | 43.21ab | 32.83abc | 22.27a     | 23.36a             | 22.82a | 208.00a     | 204.75ab             | 206.38ab   | 7.79a     | 7.66a | 7.73a   | 6.50a | 6.30a | 6.40a |
| AY11 | 16.61b                   | 25.19ab | 20.90bc  | 18.35a     | 23.66a             | 21.00a | 211.75a     | 200.75abcd           | 206.25ab   | 7.60a     | 7.93a | 7.77a   | 6.00a | 5.89a | 5.95a |
| AY12 | 10.66b                   | 14.65b  | 12.66c   | 21.88a     | 24.24a             | 23.06a | 212.75a     | 206.50abc            | 209.63     | 7.98a     | 8.27a | 8.13a   | 6.32a | 6.45a | 6.38a |
| Mean | 21.76b                   | 34.10a  | 27.93    | 21.86a     | 22.68a             | 22.27  | 206.77a     | 199.5b               | 203.14     | 7.82a     | 7.76a | 7.79    | 6.21a | 6.04a | 6.12  |
| CV   | 67.54                    | 62.89   | 65.82    | 14.39      | 14.33              | 14.36  | 3.11        | 2.16                 | 2.69       | 6.57      | 8.04  | 7.33    | 7.31  | 7.68  | 7.49  |

|      | Seed thickness (cm) |         |         | Tuber yield per plant (g) |          |           | Tuber smallest mass (g) |         |         | Tuber big | gest mass (g) |          | Number |         |         |
|------|---------------------|---------|---------|---------------------------|----------|-----------|-------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|---------------|----------|--------|---------|---------|
| ACC. | 2015                | 2016    | Mean    | 2015                      | 2016     | Mean      | 2015                    | 2016    | Mean    | 2015      | 2016          | Mean     | 2015   | 2016    | Mean    |
| AY01 | 6.09ab              | 6.00abc | 6.05bcd | 76.36b                    | 70.81abc | 73.58bcd  | 3.60ab                  | 3.13b   | 3.37cd  | 53.73a    | 46.81ab       | 50.28ab  | 4.00ab | 4.50ab  | 4.25abc |
| AY02 | 6.41ab              | 5.00c   | 5.70cd  | 265.58a                   | 33.36bc  | 149.47a   | 10.79ab                 | 19.15a  | 14.97a  | 56.69a    | 50.53ab       | 53.61ab  | 9.75a  | 1.50bc  | 5.63ab  |
| AY03 | 6.25ab              | 6.23ab  | 6.24abc | 41.78b                    | 10.14c   | 25.97de   | 2.37ab                  | 1.18b   | 1.77cd  | 35.50ab   | 23.92ab       | 29.71bc  | 4.00ab | 2.25abc | 3.13bc  |
| AY04 | 5.66ab              | 6.03abc | 5.85bcd | 83.61ab                   | 49.89abc | 66.75cde  | 7.26ab                  | 6.60ab  | 6.93bc  | 36.88ab   | 47.58ab       | 42.23bc  | 5.50ab | 6.00a   | 5.75ab  |
| AY05 | 6.31ab              | 5.51abc | 5.91bcd | 72.92b                    | 12.05c   | 42.49cde  | 12.07a                  | 1.08b   | 6.57bc  | 37.91ab   | 18.62b        | 28.27bcd | 2.75ab | 1.75bc  | 2.25cd  |
| AY06 | 6.57ab              | 6.29ab  | 6.43ab  | 141.69ab                  | 55.22abc | 98.45abc  | 2.22ab                  | 1.04b   | 1.63cd  | 55.76a    | 27.38ab       | 41.57bc  | 6.50ab | 6.00a   | 6.25ab  |
| AY07 | 6.20ab              | 6.24ab  | 6.22abc | 170.97ab                  | 10.84c   | 90.91abcd | 2.57ab                  | 1.02b   | 1.79cd  | 62.80a    | 10.54b        | 36.67bc  | 6.25ab | 4.00ab  | 5.13abc |
| AY08 | 6.88a               | 6.58a   | 6.73a   | 143.23ab                  | 132.19ab | 137.71ab  | 3.40ab                  | 7.16ab  | 5.28cd  | 56.30a    | 97.16a        | 76.73a   | 8.50ab | 3.38abc | 5.94ab  |
| AY09 | 5.93ab              | 5.25bc  | 5.59d   | 81.91ab                   | 27.58bc  | 54.75cde  | 3.44ab                  | 1.02b   | 2.23cd  | 16.82ab   | 16.94b        | 16.88cd  | 8.25ab | 5.25ab  | 6.75a   |
| AY10 | 6.16ab              | 6.02abc | 6.09bcd | 150.28ab                  | 150.28a  | 150.28a   | 11.04ab                 | 11.04ab | 11.04ab | 39.70ab   | 39.70ab       | 39.70bc  | 6.25ab | 4.75ab  | 5.50ab  |
| AY11 | 5.18b               | 5.81abc | 5.50d   | 0.00c                     | 0.00c    | 0.00e     | 0.00b                   | 0.00b   | 0.00d   | 0.00b     | 0.00c         | 0.00e    | 0.00b  | 0.00c   | 0.00d   |
| AY12 | 5.97ab              | 5.97abc | 5.97bcd | 0.00c                     | 0.00c    | 0.00e     | 0.00b                   | 0.00b   | 0.00d   | 0.00b     | 0.00c         | 0.00e    | 0.00b  | 0.00c   | 0.00d   |
| Mean | 6.13a               | 5.91b   | 6.02    | 102.36a                   | 46.03b   | 89.04     | 4.89a                   | 4.37b   | 4.63    | 37.67a    | 31.60b        | 34.64    | 5.15a  | 3.28b   | 4.21    |
| CV   | 9.26                | 8.26    | 8.8     | 74.29                     | 100.64   | 77.47     | 98.36                   | 127.93  | 102.82  | 56.38     | 95.84         | 68.94    | 69.52  | 47.97   | 59.88   |

Table 2d: Mean values of the AYB accessions for morpho-agronomic characters evaluated in 2015 and 2016

Solomon Tayo AKINYOSOYE et al.



Figure 2: Tubers of ten accessions of the African yam bean (AYB) harvested in this study

### 3.4 Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

The results of PCA of morpho-agronomic variables of the accessions revealed that five component axes had Eigen values that are greater than 1.0 and accounted for 66.70 % of the total variation. Relative discriminating power of the PCA as revealed by Eigen value was 4.96, 2.94, 2.17, 1.88 and 1.40 for PC1, PC2, PC3, PC3 and PC5 respectively (Table 3). PC 1 accounted for 24.79 % of the variation and is associated with days to first flowering, days to50 % flowering, petiole length, number of pod per peduncle, number of pod per plant, pod mass per plant, and seed yield per plant while the second principal component (PC2) was responsible for 14.69 % of the variation and was mainly associated with tuber yield per plant, seed width, seed thickness, days to physiological maturity and terminal leaflet length. The third principal component (PC3) accounted for 10.85 % of the variation and was mainly associated with tuber variables (tuber yield per plant, tuber smallest and biggest mass, and number of tuber per plant). The fourth and fifth principal components explained 9.38 % and 6.99 % of the variation respectively (Table 3).

Table 3: Characters with respect to its principal component, Eigen values and variation

| Variables                      | PC1    | PC2    | PC3    | PC4   | PC5   |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| Days to first flowing          | -0.65* | 0.29   | 0.29   | 0.40  | 0.26  |
| Days to 50 % flowering         | -0.65* | 0.26   | 0.27   | 0.41  | 0.28  |
| Terminal leaf length (cm)      | 0.48   | 0.57*  | 0.27   | 0.41  | 0.28  |
| Terminal leaf width (cm)       | 0.49   | 0.45   | 0.41   | 0.20  | -0.37 |
| Petiole length (cm)            | 0.64*  | 0.00   | 0.15   | 0.20  | -0.24 |
| Number of seeds/pod            | 0.24   | -0.27  | 0.25   | 0.43  | 0.64* |
| Number of pods/peduncle        | 0.56*  | 0.32   | 0.09   | 0.53  | -0.22 |
| Number of pods/plant           | 0.83*  | -0.33  | 0.08   | 0.27  | 0.16  |
| Pod mass/plant (g)             | 0.82*  | -0.22  | 0.09   | 0.28  | 0.23  |
| Pod length (cm)                | -0.48  | 0.44   | 0.00   | 0.40  | 0.19  |
| Seed yield/plant (g)           | 0.78*  | -0.27  | 0.08   | 0.25  | 0.28  |
| 100 seeds mass (g)             | 0.34   | 0.18   | 0.26   | -0.36 | 0.27  |
| Days to physiological maturity | -0.35  | 0.54*  | 0.24   | 0.08  | 0.07  |
| Seed length (cm)               | 0.36   | 0.37   | 0.35   | -0.28 | 0.24  |
| Seed width (cm)                | 0.34   | 0.63*  | 0.24   | -0.43 | 0.00  |
| Seed thickness (cm)            | 0.35   | 0.56*  | -0.01  | -0.47 | 0.14  |
| Tuber yield/plant (g)          | 0.30   | 0.60*  | -0.62* | 0.15  | 0.15  |
| Tuber smallest mass (g)        | 0.11   | 0.12   | -0.52* | 0.18  | -0.12 |
| Tuber biggest mass (g)         | 0.42   | 0.31   | -0.64* | 0.00  | 0.06  |
| Number of tuber/plant          | 0.13   | 0.35   | -0.53* | 0.19  | 0.34  |
| Eigen values                   | 4.96   | 2.94   | 2.17   | 1.88  | 1.40  |
| Percentage variation           | 24.79  | 14.69. | 10.85  | 9.38  | 6.99  |
| Cumulative                     | 24.79  | 39.48  | 50.33  | 59.71 | 66.70 |

\*component contributors; 0.00: non-component contributors; PC: Principal component

Bivariate analysis of the genetic variability ... of African Yam Bean (Sphenostylis stenocarpa (Hochst ex A. Rich)Harms)

### 3.5 Clustering of AYB accessions

Accessions were grouped into three clusters at the rescaled distance of 15 units (Fig 3); cluster 1 comprised of two accessions (AY11 and AY12). The members are late flowering, low seed and non-tuber producing genotypes. Cluster 2 contained seven accessions (AY03, AY05, AY01, AY04, AY06, AY07 and AY09); the members of this cluster are made up of high seed yield and medium tuber yield. Also, cluster 3 made up of three accessions (AY02, AY10 and AY08)

that exhibited early to late flowering and with highest tuber yield (Fig. 3). Inter-cluster distance revealed that AYB clustered in groups 1 and 2 were closely related with the least inter-cluster distance of 65.97 units while groups 1 and 3 were distantly related with inter-cluster distance of 117.80 units (Table 4). Five AYB accessions initially sourced from Ondo state were found in groups 2 and 3 while the three AYB accessions initially sourced from Oyo state were found spread in groups 1 and 2 while other accessions were one per state.



Figure 3: Dendrogram cluster of the 12 African yam bean accessions evaluated based on hierarchical clustering using squared Euclidean distance show the three clusters formed at the rescaled distance of 15 units

Table 4: Inter-cluster distance, according to K-mean clustering analysi

| Cluster | 1      | 2     | 3 |
|---------|--------|-------|---|
| 1       | -      |       |   |
| 2       | 65.97  | -     |   |
| 3       | 117.80 | 80.54 | - |

## **3.6** Correlation between seed yield and tuber production variables

Correlation between pairs of seed yield variable and tuber production variables showed that some pairs of the variables evaluated were significant at 5 % probability level or highly significant at 1 % probability level (Table 5). Tuber yield per plant was positively and significantly correlated with seed width (0.26), seed thickness (0.38) and terminal leaflet length (0.32). Nonsignificant relationship with low magnitude of Pearson coefficient of correlation was obtained between tuber yield per plant and seed yield per plant (0.07). On the other hand, tuber yield per plant was inversely or negatively correlated with days to first flowering (-0.11), days to 50 % flowering (-0.09), number of seed per pod (-0.11), pod length (-0.15) (Table 5). Also, seed yield was positively and significantly correlated with number of pods per plant (0.84), pod mass per plant (0.84), number of seeds per pod (0.35) and pod length (0.27). Similarly, positive and significant association existed in tuber yield per plant with the biggest tuber mass (0.65), the smallest tuber mass (0.36) and number of tubers per plant (0.67) (Table 5).

 Table 5: Pearson coefficient of correlation between pairs of morpho-agronomic characters

|   | A | В      | С     | D      | Ε       | F     | G     | Н       | Ι       | J       | K       | L      | М       | Ν      | 0      | Р      | Q       | R      | S       | Т       |
|---|---|--------|-------|--------|---------|-------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|---------|---------|
| A | - | 0.89** | -0.06 | -0.11  | -0.32** | -0.08 | 0.04  | -0.44** | -0.38** | 0.52**  | -0.39** | -0.16  | 0.36**  | -0.05  | -0.08  | -0.16  | -0.11   | -0.08  | -0.38   | 0.06    |
| В |   | -      | -0.06 | -0.15  | -0.29** | -0.02 | 0.07  | -0.46** | -0.38** | 0.48**  | -0.38** | -0.16  | 0.32**  | -0.07  | -0.11  | -0.18  | -0.09   | -0.11  | -0.33** | 0.05    |
| С |   |        | -     | 0.83** | 0.46**  | -0.06 | 0.26* | 0.25*   | 0.28*   | 0.11    | 0.25*   | 0.09   | 0.19    | 0.34** | 0.39** | 0.23*  | 0.32**  | 0.07   | 0.20*   | 0.01    |
| D |   |        |       | -      | 0.40**  | -0.02 | 0.26* | 0.28**  | 0.31**  | -0.02   | 0.21*   | 0.20*  | 0.12    | 0.28** | 0.39** | 0.23*  | 0.15    | -0.04  | 0.07    | -0.06   |
| Е |   |        |       |        | -       | 0.08  | 0.20* | 0.51**  | 0.44**  | -0.32** | 0.48**  | 0.06   | -0.20   | 0.19   | 0.17   | 0.11   | 0.16    | 0.04   | 0.06    | 0.05    |
| F |   |        |       |        |         | -     | -0.10 | 0.37**  | 0.34**  | -0.07   | 0.35**  | 0.11   | -0.10   | 0.17   | -0.04  | -0.02  | -0.11   | -0.11  | -0.08   | -0.05   |
| G |   |        |       |        |         |       | -     | 0.27**  | 0.33**  | -0.05   | 0.20    | 0.03   | -0.13   | -0.08  | 0.00   | -0.04  | 0.07    | 0.03   | 0.04    | 0.07    |
| н |   |        |       |        |         |       |       | -       | 0.91**  | -0.39** | 0.84**  | 0.14   | -0.33** | 0.17   | 0.01   | 0.01   | 0.06    | 0.05   | 0.20*   | 0.03    |
| I |   |        |       |        |         |       |       | *       | -       | -0.30** | 0.84**  | 0.24*  | -0.30** | 0.18   | 0.09   | 0.10   | 0.11    | 0.02   | 0.25*   | 0.07    |
| J |   |        |       |        |         |       |       |         |         | -       | 0.27**  | 0.40** | -0.10   | 0.55** | -0.12  | -0.09  | -0.15** | 0.16   | 0.10    | 0.03    |
| К |   |        |       |        |         |       |       |         |         |         | -       | 0.22*  | -0.29** | 0.19   | -0.01  | 0.07   | 0.07    | 0.02   | 0.20*   | 0.07    |
| L |   |        |       |        |         |       |       |         |         |         |         | -      | -0.01   | 0.37** | 0.34** | 0.30** | 0.04    | -0.08  | 0.09    | -0.63** |
| Μ |   |        |       |        |         |       |       |         |         |         |         |        | -       | 0.09   | 0.09   | 0.16   | 0.10    | 0.10   | -0.11   | 0.12    |
| Ν |   |        |       |        |         |       |       |         |         |         |         |        |         | -      | 0.49** | 0.31** | 0.05    | 0.01   | -0.06   | -0.08   |
| 0 |   |        |       |        |         |       |       |         |         |         |         |        |         |        | -      | 0.68** | 0.26*   | -0.09  | 0.16    | 0.08    |
| Р |   |        |       |        |         |       |       |         |         |         |         |        |         |        |        | -      | 0.38**  | -0.05  | 0.24*   | 0.23*   |
| Q |   |        |       |        |         |       |       |         |         |         |         |        |         |        |        |        | -       | 0.36** | 0.65**  | 0.67**  |
| R |   |        |       |        |         |       |       |         |         |         |         |        |         |        |        |        |         | -      | 0.38**  | 0.05    |
| S |   |        |       |        |         |       |       |         |         |         |         |        |         |        |        |        |         |        | -       | 0.32**  |
| Т |   |        |       |        |         |       |       |         |         |         |         |        |         |        |        |        |         |        |         | -       |

\*Means found significant at 5 %, \*\* significant at 1 % significant level

A: first flowing; B: days to 50 % flowering; C: terminal leaf length (cm); D: terminal leaf width (cm); E: petiole length (cm); F: number of seeds per pod; G: number of pod per peduncle; H: number of pod per plant; I: pod mass per plant (g); J: pod length (cm); K: seed yield per plant (g); L: 100 seed yield per plant (g); M: days to physiological maturity; N: seed length (cm); O: seed width (cm); P: seed thickness (cm); Q: tuber yield per plant (g); R: the smallest tuber mass (g); S: the biggest tuber mass (g); T: number of tubers per plant

### **4 DISCUSSION**

Genetic variation is a prerequisite for successful crop improvement programme. Knowledge of genetic variation and relationships between accessions or genotypes is important to understand the available variability and its potential use in breeding programs (Yoseph et al., 2005). If the genetic variability of any crop specie is carefully exploited, same is capable of providing critical bases for improving the grain yield as well as other economic and important traits (Mahmood et al., 2003; Inamullah et al., 2006).

Africa yam bean has dual crop advantage because it produces both seeds and tubers; however, tuber formation seems to be dependent on the genetic makeup of the accessions. In this study, ten accessions produced tubers while two did not produce tubers. Significant difference among the accessions is an indication of the existence of genetic variations. Also, significant difference in the results of each year as well as their interaction in some of the traits, implies that the performances of the AYB accessions might be influenced by environmental factors and this would enable selection for the traits of interest in different agro-ecologies. Nwofia et al. (2014) had reported that genotype and year of evaluation had significant effects on some of the agronomic traits of African yam bean.

Hierarchical clustering based on twenty morphoagronomic variables evaluated in this study, clustered the AYB accessions into three groups, where genotypes within the same cluster exhibit high homogeneity and high heterogeneity between the clusters. Also, the result obtained from the PCA showed that PC1 and PC2 accounted for 39.48 % of the variation, which was associated with seed and tuber yield variables. This indicates that days to first flowering, days to 50 % flowering, petiole length, number of pods per plant, pod mass per plant, seed yield per plant, seed width and tuber yield per plant were considered as major contributors to the total variation having PC values > 0.6 (Matus et al., 1999) in this study. These identified variables could facilitate effective selection in AYB improvement programmes.

Although, significant differences were obtained among the AYB accessions for some of the traits, 74.99 % of the accessions were grouped in two clusters of the three clusters formed by K-means analysis. These two clusters were the most closely related with the least inter-cluster distance of 65.97 units. These results justify the low genetic diversity observed among the African yam bean accessions in forest agro-ecology of Nigeria which needs to be improved. Akande (2007) had anticipated that through mutation breeding, introduction, recombination and selection, improved varieties which are more productive than those currently grown by farmers can be developed.

Non-significant relationship obtained between tuber vield per plant and seed vield per plant; could be a function of genetic make-up of the AYB accession. Several researchers (Marcelis, 1996; Farrar, 1996; Geigner et al., 1996) had reported that such occurrence could be competitiveness between the storage sinks (seeds and tubers) to import photo-assimilates, which is a function of environmental factors such as water, temperature and strength of the competing sinks. Identifying the molecular determinant of sinks strength would further increase yield. In addition, it could be observed from the Pearson coefficients of correlation, that number of seeds per pod, number of pods per plant, pods mass per plant, pod length and 100-seed mass greatly influenced seed yield. Also, the smallest tuber mass and the biggest tuber mass contributed to tuber yield in this study; this could be attributed to the positive and significant relationship obtained among these traits with seed yield and tuber yield. Ikhajiagbe et al. (2007) earlier reported that yield characters such as number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, 100-seed mass variables strongly influence grain yield of AYB. Hence, these variables could be used for indirect selection for seed yield and tuber yield. Significant positive correlations between yield and other agronomic characters that can improve yield are quite desirable in plant breeding, because it facilitates selection process and gains from selection (Bello and Olawuyi, 2015). Therefore, efforts should be geared towards improving them for better yield.

### **5** CONCLUSION

This study revealed that some of the traits of African yam bean genotypes like seed yield and tuber yield were significantly influenced by prevailing environmental factors across the years of evaluation. For instance, environmental factors favoured high seed yield in 2016 and high tuber yield in 2015. Also, significant genotypic differences were obtained among the genotypes evaluated across the years; AY03 and AY01 had the highest seed yield while AY10 and AY02 gave the highest tuber yield. On the other hand, AY01 and AY03 gave the highest seed yield in 2016 and 2015 respectively while highest tuber yield was obtained in AY02 and AY10 in 2015 and 2016 respectively. Three heterotic groups were clearly delineated among the genotypes with accessions AY03 and AY10 identified for high seed yield and tuber yield respectively. However, non-significant relationship between tuber yield and seed yield per plant of these accessions, which could be attributed to their genetic make-up can be further tested in various agro-ecologies for their suitability, adaptability and possible exploitation of heterosis to further improve the accessions.

### 6 ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The effort and contributions of Late Prof. (Mrs) S.R. Akande to this research will forever be remembered. She collected and provided most of the African Yam

Bean accessions used for this research work. May her gentle soul rest in peace.

### **7 REFERENCES**

- Adeniyan, O. N., Akande, S. R., Balogun, M. O., Saka, J. O. (2007). Evaluation of Crop Yield of African Yam Bean, Maize and Kenaf under Intercropping Systems. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Science*, 2, 99-102.
- Adewale, B. D. (2011). Genetic Diversity, Stability and Reproductive Biology of African yam bean, Sphenostylis stenocarpa (Hochst. ex A. Rich.) Harms. PhD Thesis, University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria. pp.203.
- Adewale, B. D., Dumet, D. J., Vroh-Bi, I., Kehinde, O. B.,Ojo, D. K., Adegbite, A. E., Franco, J. (2012). Morphological diversity analysis of African yam bean and prospects for utilization in germplasm conservation and breeding, *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*, 59(5), 927-936. doi:10.1007/s10722-011-9734-1
- Adewale, D.B., Dumet, D.J. (2010). Descriptors for African yam bean, Sphenostylis stenocarpa (Hochst ex.A. Rich.) Harms. Genetic Resources Centre, International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Ibadan, Nigeria. Pp13.
- Ajibade, S, Balogun, M, Afolabi, O., Ajomole, K., Fasoyiro, S. (2005). Genetic variation in nutritive and anti-nutritive contents of African yam bean (*Sphenostylis stenocarpa*), *Tropical Science*, 45, 144-148. doi:10.1002/ts.14
- Akande S.R. (2007). Multivariate analysis of the genetic diversity of pigeon pea germplasms from the Southwest Nigeria. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment*, 5, 224-227.
- Akande, S.R. (2009). Germplasm characterization of African yam bean from southwest Nigeria. *Acta Horticulturae*, 806, 695-700. doi:10.17660/ActaHortic.2009.806.86
- Akande, S.R., Oladejo, L., Olowolafe, M., Ogunbodede,
  B. (2012) Flowering and Reproductive Characteristics of African Yam Bean (*Sphenostylis*)

stenocarpa (Hoscht.Ex A. Rich) Harms). Nigerian Journal of Genetics, 26, 47-54.

- Bello B.O., Olawuyi, O.J. (2015). Gene action, heterosis, correlation and regression estimatesin developing hybrid cultivars in maize. *Tropical Agriculture (Trinidad)*, 92(2), 102-117.
- Farrar, J.F. (1996). Sink-integral parts of a whole plant. Journal of Experimental Botany, 47, 1273-1279. doi:10.1093/jxb/47.Special\_Issue.1273
- Geigner, D., Koch, K.E., Shieh, W.J. (1996). Effect of envoronmental factors on whole plants assimilates partitioning associated gene expression. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 47, 1229-1238. doi:10.1093/jxb/47.Special\_Issue.1229
- Gower, J.C. (1966). Some distance properties of latent and vector methods used in multivariate analysis. *Biometrika*, 53, 325-338. doi:10.1093/biomet/53.3-4.325
- IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, (Version 23.0, 2015). Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.
- Ikhajiagbe, B., Mensah, J.K. (2012). Genetic assessment of three colour variants of African yam beans (Sphenostylis stenocarpa) commonly grown in Midestern region of Nigeria. International Journal of modern botany, 2(2), 13-18 doi:10.5923/j.ijmb.20120202.01
- Ikhajiagbe, B., Mgbeze, G.C., Folu M., Dania-Ogbe, (2007). Responses of *Sphenostylis stenocarpa* (Hochst ex a. Rich) Harms (African yam bean) to salinity stress ii: Yield, yield components, and chemical composition. *Nigerian Journal of Botany*, 20(1), 83-92.
- Inamullah, H.A., Fida, M., Siraj-ud-din, G.H., Rahmani, G. (2006). Evaluation of the heterotic and heterobeltiotic potential of wheat genotypes for improved yield. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 38, 1159-1167.

- Mahmood, T., M. Ali, S. Iqbal and M. Anwar, (2003). Genetic variability and heritability estimates in summer mustard (*Brassica juncea*). Asian Journal of Plant Science, 2, 77-79. doi:10.3923/ajps.2003.77.79
- Marcelis, L.F.M. (1996). Sinks strength as a determinant of dry matter partitioning in the whole plant. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 47, 1281-1291. doi:10.1093/jxb/47.Special\_Issue.1281
- Matus, I., Gonzales, M.I., Pozo, A. (1999). Evaluation of phenotypic variation in a Chiean collection of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) clones using multivariate analysis. *Plant Genetic Resources Newsletter*, 117, 31-34
- National Pesticide Information Centre (NPIC), (1988). Factsheets. Corvalis: Oregun State University and the United States Environmental Protection Agency.
- Nwofia, G.E, Awaraka, R., Mbah, E.U. (2014). Yield and Yield Component Assessment of Some African Yam Bean Genotypes (*Sphenostylis stenorcarpa* Hochst Ex A. Rich) Harms in Lowland Humid Tropics of South Eastern Nigeria. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Science, 14* (9), 923-931
- Ogunniyan, D.J., Olakojo, S.A. (2014). Genetic Variability of Agronomic Traits of Low Nitrogen Tolerant Open-Pollinated Maize Accessions as Parents for Top-Cross Hybrids. *Journal of Agriculture and Sustainability*, 6(2), 179-196.

- Ojuederie, O.B., Balogun, M.O., Fawole, I., David O. I., Olowolafe, M.O. (2014). Assessment of the genetic diversity of African yam bean (Sphenostylis stenocarpa Hochst ex. A Rich.Harms) accessions using amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) markers. African Journal of Biotechnology, 13(18), 1850-185. doi:10.5897/AJB2014.13734
- Olasoji, J., Akande, S., Owolade, O. (2011) Genetic variability in seed quality of African yam bean (*Sphenostylis stenocarpa* Hoscht. Ex A. Rich Harms). *African Journal of Agricultural Res*earch, 6(27), 5848-5853.
- Popoola, J.O., Adegbeti, A.E., Obembe, O.O., Adewale, B.D., Odu, B.O. (2011). Morphological intraspecific variabilities in African yam bean (AYB) (Sphenostylis stenocarpa Ex. A. Rich) Harms. Scientific Research and Essay, 6(3), 507-515.
- Saka, J.O., Ajibade, S.R., Adeniyan, O.N., Olowoyo, R.B., Ogunbodede, B.A. (2004). Survey of underutilized grain legume production systems in South-West agricultural zone of Nigeria. *Journal of Agriculture and food production*, 6, 93-108.
- Sneath, P.H.A., Sokal, R. (1973). *Numerical Taxonomy*. W.H. Freeman, San Francisco. 573p.
- Yoseph, B., Botha, A.M., Myburg, A.A. (2005). A comparative study of molecular and morphological methods of describing genetic relationships in traditional Ethiopian highland maize. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 4, 586-595. doi:10.5897/AJB2005.000-3107